
NASCAR is news too

Posted by dailyherald - 2006/12/13 18:04

The Daily Herald has again snubbed NASCAR fans. Why doesn't our local paper think it's important to report the news? NASCAR is the second-most watched sport in America, yet when the final race of the season was run there wasn't a single peep written about it.

Read more...

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by proletarian - 2006/12/13 21:22

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by morallysuperior - 2006/12/13 21:34

Maybe it is the 2nd biggest sport in the country, but not in Utah. Why don't you buy one of those computers with the internet thingy on it and get your NASCAR news from nascar.com

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/14 13:15

You'd be surprised how many Nascar Fans there are in Utah.

A lot more than you think.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/14 13:21

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

And just why would you not consider it a Sport?

Are you aware that the heart rate of a Nascar driver during a race is similar to an NBA player on the court?

Can you image an NBA player having to play for 4 hours in a single game?

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by scruffy - 2006/12/15 07:43

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

Ya'all aint a bigot or nuthin, ere ya?

Having taken advantage of Richard Petty's Rookie Experience at the Las Vegas speedway it became apparent to me that NASCAR is a very physically demanding sport, requiring extreme physical and mental endurance. There is no other sport that requires as much physical and mental exertion for such a long period of time as a NASCAR race. Try it sometime.

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Tennerifa - 2006/12/15 08:00

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

I rank NASCAR, as a sport, just below rodeo, but at least in rodeo you get to abuse some animals.

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Tennerifa - 2006/12/15 08:08

MEgus wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

And just why would you not consider it a Sport?

Are you aware that the heart rate of a Nascar driver during a race is similar to an NBA player on the court?

Can you image an NBA player having to play for 4 hours in a single game?

Crystal Meth will make your heart rate increase also, but we don't call that a sport.....yet.

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/15 08:13

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

Pro, Nascar which you derisively say is not a sport requires so much concentration that the drivers have horrible headaches after a race. The interiors of the cars are approx. 140 degrees during the race and the drivers will lose about 10 lbs of water weight. These are elite drivers with reactions and skills that you or I will never come close to having. Try driving a car with 700 HP around a track surrounded by other cars 6 inches away from you while your car is trying to get airborne due to aerodynamics. Maybe then you might have some respect for this "sport".

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/15 08:16

Tennerifa wrote:

MEgus wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

And just why would you not consider it a Sport?

Are you aware that the heart rate of a Nascar driver during a race is similar to an NBA player on the court?

Can you image an NBA player having to play for 4 hours in a single game?

Crystal Meth will make your heart rate increase also, but we don't call that a sport.....yet.
Great rebuttal. You convinced me.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by gopherus - 2006/12/15 08:21

NASCAR may be a sport, but it is also a symbol of our total lack of regard for resources. At a time when we decry the support of terrorists NASCAR fans drive to see people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel. Rednecks supporting terrorism is how I see it.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Tennerifa - 2006/12/15 08:29

HDnotmetric wrote:

Tennerifa wrote:

MEgus wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

And just why would you not consider it a Sport?

Are you aware that the heart rate of a Nascar driver during a race is similar to an NBA player on the court?

Can you image an NBA player having to play for 4 hours in a single game?

Crystal Meth will make your heart rate increase also, but we don't call that a sport.....yet.
Great rebuttal. You convinced me.

Sorry, didn't mean to offend. Grab a beer and bag of chips, undo that top button on your pants, throw your feet up on the coffee table, and enjoy that race!

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by prisonpig - 2006/12/15 10:10

The Daily Herald also snubs Ute fans as well. I've noticed when the Ute's win there may be a small article about it in the Herald but when they lose, well there's a much bigger article about it in the Herald. Personally, I think BYU actually owns the Herald just like everything else in Provo.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/15 10:10

gopherus wrote:

NASCAR may be a sport, but it is also a symbol of our total lack of regard for resources. At a time when we decry the support of terrorists NASCAR fans drive to see people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel. Rednecks supporting terrorism is how I see it.

Hahahahahaha, Now that made me laugh.

So, what your saying is that we should not have any sports, right?

How much gas do those fans use to go to a Jazz Game? Or a Football Game? Or any other sport? Are you saying we should cancel the Bowl game in Las Vegas for BYU next week because all those fans will have to drive to Las Vegas to see it?

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Versus - 2006/12/15 10:14

prisonpig wrote:

The Daily Herald also snubs Ute fans as well. I've noticed when the Ute's win there may be a small article about it in the Herald but when they lose, well there's a much bigger article about it in the Herald. Personally, I think BYU actually owns the Herald just like everything else in Provo.

Oh please...a biased newspaper? I don't believe it. :D

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Versus - 2006/12/15 10:21

gopherus wrote:

...people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel.

Gopherus, I modified the sentence so that you can't tell if you're talking about nascar or just people christmas shopping...
;)

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by austindm - 2006/12/15 10:22

MEgus wrote:

You'd be surprised how many Nascar Fans there are in Utah.

A lot more than you think.

3?

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by austindm - 2006/12/15 10:25

Tennerifa wrote:

MEgus wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

And just why would you not consider it a Sport?

Are you aware that the heart rate of a Nascar driver during a race is similar to an NBA player on the court?

Can you image an NBA player having to play for 4 hours in a single game?

Crystal Meth will make your heart rate increase also, but we don't call that a sport.....yet.

Yeah, I thought that was the second biggest sport in Utah.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by austindm - 2006/12/15 10:26

MEgus wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

And just why would you not consider it a Sport?

Are you aware that the heart rate of a Nascar driver during a race is similar to an NBA player on the court?

That's because their blood pressure is so high from all the Pork, Beer and Pretzels they consume.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by austindm - 2006/12/15 10:29

scruffy wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

Ya'all aint a bigot or nuthin, ere ya?

Having taken advantage of Richard Petty's Rookie Experience at the Las Vegas speedway it became apparent to me that NASCAR is a very physically demanding sport, requiring extreme physical and mental endurance. There is no other sport that requires as much physical and mental exertion for such a long period of time as a NASCAR race. Try it sometime.

Yes, and watching NASCAR is SOOOOOOOOOOOOOO exciting! Oh, look, they're making a left turn! Now they're gonna make another left turn. Here comes the next left turn! I wonder what's gonna happen next!

Take a nap, drink a beer, go home, you're not gonna miss a *&^% thing! ;)

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/15 10:30

Tennerifa

Sorry, didn't mean to offend. Grab a beer and bag of chips, undo that top button on your pants, throw your feet up on the coffee table, and enjoy that race!

Tennerifa,

I can understand you feel that way if you have never been to a race. But, that is far from what the Fans of Nascar are.

I guess it is the same for other sports as well. I can't for the life of me see what people see in golf. Yet, it's a sport that's followed by a lot of people.

The key to understanding is to learn about it I guess. Just like Scruffy said, if you've never tried to drive a car like this around a track, you don't have a clue how hard it is to do physically as well as mentally.

I too have raced. I'm also an avid skier and I can tell you racing is harder than skiing.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/15 10:41

Tennerifa wrote:

HDnotmetric wrote:

Tennerifa wrote:

MEgus wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

And just why would you not consider it a Sport?

Are you aware that the heart rate of a Nascar driver during a race is similar to an NBA player on the court?

Can you image an NBA player having to play for 4 hours in a single game?

Crystal Meth will make your heart rate increase also, but we don't call that a sport.....yet.

Great rebuttal. You convinced me.

Sorry, didn't mean to offend. Grab a beer and bag of chips, undo that top button on your pants, throw your feet up on the coffee table, and enjoy that race!

Gotta wait for Daytona in February.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/15 10:45

gopherus wrote:

NASCAR may be a sport, but it is also a symbol of our total lack of regard for resources. At a time when we decry the support of terrorists NASCAR fans drive to see people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel. Rednecks supporting terrorism is how I see it.

Sorry gopher, I don't see it that way. I can point out a thousand examples of waste in this country without pulling the rednecks for bin laden card.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/15 10:49

austindm wrote:

scruffy wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

Ya'all aint a bigot or nuthin, ere ya?

Having taken advantage of Richard Petty's Rookie Experience at the Las Vegas speedway it became apparent to me

that NASCAR is a very physically demanding sport, requiring extreme physical and mental endurance. There is no other sport that requires as much physical and mental exertion for such a long period of time as a NASCAR race. Try it sometime.

Yes, and watching NASCAR is SOOOOOOOOOOOOOO exciting! Oh, look, they're making a left turn! Now they're gonna make another left turn. Here comes the next left turn! I wonder what's gonna happen next!

Take a nap, drink a beer, go home, you're not gonna miss a *&^% thing! ;) austin, lets try this: post some of your hobbies and interests and let me critique them. It might be fun.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Angie - 2006/12/15 10:52

To each his own. That's why their are so many choices out there.

My daughter's PE class was offering extra credit if the kids watched NASCAR on Sundays. She said, "mom, I have to watch this race for PE".

Well, that assignment went out the window. There is no way in heck we are going to sit around on a Sunday and watch NASCAR. But some do and that's okay.

We wouldn't sit around and watch golf either. My family is a big golfing family, but while it is fun to play, I absolutely cannot stand to sit and watch it. It's worse than general conference. (Just kidding, for all you LDS people, I'm LDS too and I do enjoy conference...mostly ;))

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Blondie - 2006/12/15 10:54

MEgus wrote:

gopherus wrote:

NASCAR may be a sport, but it is also a symbol or our total lack of regard for resources. At a time when we decry the support of terrorists NASCAR fans drive to see people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel. Rednecks supporting terrorism is how I see it.

Hahahahahaha, Now that made me laugh.

So, what your saying is that we should not have any sports, right?

How much gas do those fans use to go to a Jazz Game? Or a Football Game? Or any other sport? Are you saying we should cancel the Bowl game in Las Vegas for BYU next week because all those fans will have to drive to Las Vegas to see it?

AND Las Vegas loves BYU fans..... they spend money. ;D ;D

Each and everyone us has the right to enjoy what we like... Putting Nacscar down is childish. Some people do not even like sports, any kind. BUT UTAH does like Nascar and why is it not reported in paper was the question??

Saying it is REDNECKED terrorism..... is just stupid. Thought you were smarter than that gopherus :o ::) ;D

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/15 10:57

Blondie wrote:

MEgus wrote:

gopherus wrote:

NASCAR may be a sport, but it is also a symbol of our total lack of regard for resources. At a time when we decry the support of terrorists NASCAR fans drive to see people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel. Rednecks supporting terrorism is how I see it.

Hahahahahaha, Now that made me laugh.

So, what your saying is that we should not have any sports, right?

How much gas do those fans use to go to a Jazz Game? Or a Football Game? Or any other sport? Are you saying we should cancel the Bowl game in Las Vegas for BYU next week because all those fans will have to drive to Las Vegas to see it?

AND Las Vegas loves BYU fans..... they spend money. ;D ;D

Each and everyone us has the right to enjoy what we like... Putting Nacscar down is childish. Some people do not even like sports, any kind. BUT UTAH does like Nascar and why is it not reported in paper was the question??

Saying it is REDNECKED terrorism..... is just stupid. Thought you were smarter than that gopherus :o :) ;D I thought is was little over the top for gopherus myself. I fear circus clowns maybe he fears brightly painted race cars. ;)

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by austindm - 2006/12/15 11:05

HDnotmetric wrote:

austindm wrote:

scruffy wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

Ya'all aint a bigot or nuthin, ere ya?

Having taken advantage of Richard Petty's Rookie Experience at the Las Vegas speedway it became apparent to me that NASCAR is a very physically demanding sport, requiring extreme physical and mental endurance. There is no other sport that requires as much physical and mental exertion for such a long period of time as a NASCAR race. Try it sometime.

Yes, and watching NASCAR is SOOOOOOOOOOOOOO exciting! Oh, look, they're making a left turn! Now they're gonna make another left turn. Here comes the next left turn! I wonder what's gonna happen next!

Take a nap, drink a beer, go home, you're not gonna miss a *&^% thing! ;) austin, lets try this: post some of your hobbies and interests and let me critique them. It might be fun.

it might be. I'm sorry I got carried away. I was quoting a stand up comedian who's name I can't remember. I don't mean any insult, I do have a few friends who enjoy NASCAR, and I don't have anything to say about it, at least anything knowledgeable to say, just, try to look at it from OUR perspective, it does sound a little silly. But, like I said, as far as NASCAR goes (ie around and around) I don't "get it", so please don't take offense at my teasing, for that's all it is.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/15 11:11

austindm wrote:

HDnotmetric wrote:

austindm wrote:

scruffy wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

Ya'all aint a bigot or nuthin, ere ya?

Having taken advantage of Richard Petty's Rookie Experience at the Las Vegas speedway it became apparent to me that NASCAR is a very physically demanding sport, requiring extreme physical and mental endurance. There is no other sport that requires as much physical and mental exertion for such a long period of time as a NASCAR race. Try it sometime.

Yes, and watching NASCAR is SOOOOOOOOOOOO exciting! Oh, look, they're making a left turn! Now they're gonna make another left turn. Here comes the next left turn! I wonder what's gonna happen next!

Take a nap, drink a beer, go home, you're not gonna miss a *&^% thing! ;) austin, lets try this: post some of your hobbies and interests and let me critique them. It might be fun.

it might be. I'm sorry I got carried away. I was quoting a stand up comedian who's name I can't remember. I don't mean any insult, I do have a few friends who enjoy NASCAR, and I don't have anything to say about it, at least anything knowledgeable to say, just, try to look at it from OUR perspective, it does sound a little silly. But, like I said, as far as NASCAR goes (ie around and around) I don't "get it", so please don't take offense at my teasing, for that's all it is. Not offended at all austin. If we all did and liked the same things it would be a pretty boring world wouldn't it?

I have always been a car nut and enjoy going to and watching all kinds of races including cars & bikes and am a little bit defensive when people use simplistic and dismissive tones about something I love. Peace

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by gopherus - 2006/12/15 11:23

Versus wrote:

gopherus wrote:

...people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel.

Gopherus, I modified the sentence so that you can't tell if you're talking about nascar or just people christmas shopping...

;))

I'll use this response as a jumping off point to make myself clear. Yes, you are correct, Christmas shopping and other activities that promote excessive driving do provide monetary support for terrorism through oil revenues and increase the pollution in our air. I understand that people view these as activities that have to be done, and many activities that require driving are necessary. In some cases people can reduce their impact by taking public transportation, in other cases they can't. In my view such activities are only immoral when there are other options available that use less fuel (though all of our gasoline use promotes terrorism in a small way by fueling the economies of those areas that support it). Now NASCAR is a different story. It is not necessary, it is a recreational activity. You may think that I'm out of line for suggesting that it promotes terrorism, but the link is valid if not direct. At the very least NASCAR is a squandering of resources both fuel and the petroleum used to make tires and asphalt. It is also a waste of land and a producer of both greenhouse gasses and local pollution that damages our health. In essence, NASCAR is an activity some enjoy that places others at risk and wastes resources we will soon, and should already, view as precious. NASCAR is not just a stupid sport like bowling, it is much more resource intensive. I know that people will choose to use resources unwisely at times, I'm no exception, but I can't support such an obvious waste. I'll take whatever beatings people want to give me on this. Sometimes you just have to stick by your morals.

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Angie - 2006/12/15 11:32

gopherus wrote:

Versus wrote:

gopherus wrote:

...people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel.

Gopherus, I modified the sentence so that you can't tell if you're talking about nascar or just people christmas shopping... ;)

I'll use this response as a jumping off point to make myself clear. Yes, you are correct, Christmas shopping and other activities that promote excessive driving do provide monetary support for terrorism through oil revenues and increase the pollution in our air. I understand that people view these as activities that have to be done, and many activities that require driving are necessary. In some cases people can reduce their impact by taking public transportation, in other cases they can't. In my view such activities are only immoral when there are other options available that use less fuel (though all of our gasoline use promotes terrorism in a small way by fueling the economies of those areas that support it). Now NASCAR is a different story. It is not necessary, it is a recreational activity. You may think that I'm out of line for suggesting that it promotes terrorism, but the link is valid if not direct. At the very least NASCAR is a squandering of resources both fuel and the petroleum used to make tires and asphalt. It is also a waste of land and a producer of both greenhouse gasses and local pollution that damages our health. In essence, NASCAR is an activity some enjoy that places others at risk and wastes resources we will soon, and should already, view as precious. NASCAR is not just a stupid sport like bowling, it is much more resource intensive. I know that people will choose to use resources unwisely at times, I'm no exception, but I can't support such an obvious waste. I'll take whatever beatings people want to give me on this. Sometimes you just have to stick by your morals.

Gopherus, I admire your stance on this. I'll give you applause for that.

Out of curiosity, do you have similar opinions of off road vehicles? I would imagine so but don't want to make that assumption.

Not trying to start a whole new fight...that's just something I sometimes argue with my family about so I'm interested.

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by dave - 2006/12/15 11:33

Tennerifa wrote:

MEgus wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

And just why would you not consider it a Sport?

Are you aware that the heart rate of a Nascar driver during a race is similar to an NBA player on the court?

Can you image an NBA player having to play for 4 hours in a single game?

Crystal Meth will make your heart rate increase also, but we don't call that a sport.....yet.

ROTFL!!! ;D

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/15 11:41

gopherus wrote:

Versus wrote:

gopherus wrote:

...people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel.

Gopherus, I modified the sentence so that you can't tell if you're talking about nascar or just people christmas shopping... ;)

I'll use this response as a jumping off point to make myself clear. Yes, you are correct, Christmas shopping and other activities that promote excessive driving do provide monetary support for terrorism through oil revenues and increase the pollution in our air. I understand that people view these as activities that have to be done, and many activities that require driving are necessary. In some cases people can reduce their impact by taking public transportation, in other cases they can't. In my view such activities are only immoral when there are other options available that use less fuel (though all of our gasoline use promotes terrorism in a small way by fueling the economies of those areas that support it).

Now NASCAR is a different story. It is not necessary, it is a recreational activity. You may think that I'm out of line for suggesting that it promotes terrorism, but the link is valid if not direct. At the very least NASCAR is a squandering of resources both fuel and the petroleum used to make tires and asphalt. It is also a waste of land and a producer of both greenhouse gasses and local pollution that damages our health. In essence, NASCAR is an activity some enjoy that places others at risk and wastes resources we will soon, and should already, view as precious. NASCAR is not just a stupid sport like bowling, it is much more resource intensive. I know that people will choose to use resources unwisely at times, I'm no exception, but I can't support such an obvious waste. I'll take whatever beatings people want to give me on this. Sometimes you just have to stick by your morals.

I respect your opinions on this but we are going to have to agree to disagree on this one.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by gopherus - 2006/12/15 11:57

Angie wrote:

gopherus wrote:

Versus wrote:

gopherus wrote:

...people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel.

Gopherus, I modified the sentence so that you can't tell if you're talking about nascar or just people christmas shopping...
:)

I'll use this response as a jumping off point to make myself clear. Yes, you are correct, Christmas shopping and other activities that promote excessive driving do provide monetary support for terrorism through oil revenues and increase the pollution in our air. I understand that people view these as activities that have to be done, and many activities that require driving are necessary. In some cases people can reduce their impact by taking public transportation, in other cases they can't. In my view such activities are only immoral when there are other options available that use less fuel (though all of our gasoline use promotes terrorism in a small way by fueling the economies of those areas that support it). Now NASCAR is a different story. It is not necessary, it is a recreational activity. You may think that I'm out of line for suggesting that it promotes terrorism, but the link is valid if not direct. At the very least NASCAR is a squandering of resources both fuel and the petroleum used to make tires and asphalt. It is also a waste of land and a producer of both greenhouse gasses and local pollution that damages our health. In essence, NASCAR is an activity some enjoy that places others at risk and wastes resources we will soon, and should already, view as precious. NASCAR is not just a stupid sport like bowling, it is much more resource intensive. I know that people will choose to use resources unwisely at times, I'm no exception, but I can't support such an obvious waste. I'll take whatever beatings people want to give me on this. Sometimes you just have to stick by your morals.

Gopherus, I admire your stance on this. I'll give you applause for that.

Out of curiosity, do you have similar opinions of off road vehicles? I would imagine so but don't want to make that assumption.

Not trying to start a whole new fight...that's just something I sometimes argue with my family about so I'm interested.

Yeah, pretty much the same view. It sucks too, because I had a motorcycle (4-stroke, much cleaner burning than 2-strokes) as a kid and loved it. I really want another motorcycle (my last was a honda XR 350) but morally it would be like having an affair or something. Aside from the fuel use I couldn't justify it because I've researched the impacts of Off Highway Vehicles (OHVs) on desert organisms (not book research, primary research in the field for my dissertation). Given the growth in OHV use I just don't need to add more pressure on the environment. I do feel that OHV use can be managed appropriately to limit these impacts, but unconstrained growth will lead to horrible impacts. Its sad to see, but the OHV industry is headed for a downturn at some point because of population growth. Perhaps the economy will be damaged enough in the future to limit OHV recreation mostly to the wealthy (also sad to see), but we'll be fighting over this issue for the next several decades.

Just so everyone knows, I try to be pro-freedom and enjoy freedom myself. I just see, and perhaps focus too much on, the costs of many activities and the need to limit our impacts. My lawn mower is the push type for this reason (and I have relatively little lawn with other landscaping both to save water and because its hard to mow a lawn without gas or electricity). I'm very concerned about our use of 2-stroke engines for so many activities we don't need to use them for (leaf blowers, snow blowers, four-wheelers that are used to drive around neighborhoods) because the pollute about 5 times as much as 4-stroke engines. I think we need regulations here and there to push us into better practices that we avoid just because people don't know or care. We could maintain many freedoms, and enjoy lower costs of use, if we just made better choices.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/15 12:05

gopherus wrote:

Angie wrote:

gopherus wrote:

Versus wrote:

gopherus wrote:

...people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel.

Gopherus, I modified the sentence so that you can't tell if you're talking about nascar or just people christmas shopping...
:)

I'll use this response as a jumping off point to make myself clear. Yes, you are correct, Christmas shopping and other activities that promote excessive driving do provide monetary support for terrorism through oil revenues and increase the pollution in our air. I understand that people view these as activities that have to be done, and many activities that require driving are necessary. In some cases people can reduce their impact by taking public transportation, in other cases they can't. In my view such activities are only immoral when there are other options available that use less fuel (though all of our gasoline use promotes terrorism in a small way by fueling the economies of those areas that support it). Now NASCAR is a different story. It is not necessary, it is a recreational activity. You may think that I'm out of line for suggesting that it promotes terrorism, but the link is valid if not direct. At the very least NASCAR is a squandering of resources both fuel and the petroleum used to make tires and asphalt. It is also a waste of land and a producer of both greenhouse gasses and local pollution that damages our health. In essence, NASCAR is an activity some enjoy that places others at risk and wastes resources we will soon, and should already, view as precious. NASCAR is not just a stupid sport like bowling, it is much more resource intensive. I know that people will choose to use resources unwisely at times, I'm no exception, but I can't support such an obvious waste. I'll take whatever beatings people want to give me on this. Sometimes you just have to stick by your morals.

Gopherus, I admire your stance on this. I'll give you applause for that.

Out of curiosity, do you have similar opinions of off road vehicles? I would imagine so but don't want to make that assumption.

Not trying to start a whole new fight...that's just something I sometimes argue with my family about so I'm interested.

Yeah, pretty much the same view. It sucks too, because I had a motorcycle (4-stroke, much cleaner burning than 2-strokes) as a kid and loved it. I really want another motorcycle (my last was a honda XR 350) but morally it would be like having an affair or something. Aside from the fuel use I couldn't justify it because I've researched the impacts of Off Highway Vehicles (OHVs) on desert organisms (not book research, primary research in the field for my dissertation). Given the growth in OHV use I just don't need to add more pressure on the environment. I do feel that OHV use can be managed appropriately to limit these impacts, but unconstrained growth will lead to horrible impacts. Its sad to see, but the OHV industry is headed for a downturn at some point because of population growth. Perhaps the economy will be damaged enough in the future to limit OHV recreation mostly to the wealthy (also sad to see), but we'll be fighting over this issue for the next several decades.

Just so everyone knows, I try to be pro-freedom and enjoy freedom myself. I just see, and perhaps focus too much on, the costs of many activities and the need to limit our impacts. My lawn mower is the push type for this reason (and I have relatively little lawn with other landscaping both to save water and because its hard to mow a lawn without gas or electricity). I'm very concerned about our use of 2-stroke engines for so many activities we don't need to use them for (leaf blowers, snow blowers, four-wheelers that are used to drive around neighborhoods) because the pollute about 5 times as much as 4-stroke engines. I think we need regulations here and there to push us into better practices that we avoid just because people don't know or care. We could maintain many freedoms, and enjoy lower costs of use, if we just made better choices.

So, if I shovel my snow and have a roll in the hay with the next door neighbor right after that I am as morally wrong as using my snowblower?

=====
Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Angie - 2006/12/15 12:07

HDnotmetric wrote:

gopherus wrote:

Angie wrote:

gopherus wrote:

Versus wrote:

gopherus wrote:

...people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel.

Gopherus, I modified the sentence so that you can't tell if you're talking about nascar or just people christmas shopping... ;)

I'll use this response as a jumping off point to make myself clear. Yes, you are correct, Christmas shopping and other activities that promote excessive driving do provide monetary support for terrorism through oil revenues and increase the pollution in our air. I understand that people view these as activities that have to be done, and many activities that require driving are necessary. In some cases people can reduce their impact by taking public transportation, in other cases they can't. In my view such activities are only immoral when there are other options available that use less fuel (though all of our gasoline use promotes terrorism in a small way by fueling the economies of those areas that support it). Now NASCAR is a different story. It is not necessary, it is a recreational activity. You may think that I'm out of line for suggesting that it promotes terrorism, but the link is valid if not direct. At the very least NASCAR is a squandering of resources both fuel and the petroleum used to make tires and asphalt. It is also a waste of land and a producer of both greenhouse gasses and local pollution that damages our health. In essence, NASCAR is an activity some enjoy that places others at risk and wastes resources we will soon, and should already, view as precious. NASCAR is not just a stupid sport like bowling, it is much more resource intensive. I know that people will choose to use resources unwisely at times, I'm no exception, but I can't support such an obvious waste. I'll take whatever beatings people want to give me on this. Sometimes you just have to stick by your morals.

Gopherus, I admire your stance on this. I'll give you applause for that.

Out of curiosity, do you have similar opinions of off road vehicles? I would imagine so but don't want to make that assumption.

Not trying to start a whole new fight...that's just something I sometimes argue with my family about so I'm interested.

Yeah, pretty much the same view. It sucks too, because I had a motorcycle (4-stroke, much cleaner burning than 2-strokes) as a kid and loved it. I really want another motorcycle (my last was a honda XR 350) but morally it would be like having an affair or something. Aside from the fuel use I couldn't justify it because I've researched the impacts of Off Highway Vehicles (OHVs) on desert organisms (not book research, primary research in the field for my dissertation). Given the growth in OHV use I just don't need to add more pressure on the environment. I do feel that OHV use can be managed appropriately to limit these impacts, but unconstrained growth will lead to horrible impacts. Its sad to see, but the OHV industry is headed for a downturn at some point because of population growth. Perhaps the economy will be damaged enough in the future to limit OHV recreation mostly to the wealthy (also sad to see), but we'll be fighting over this issue for the next several decades.

Just so everyone knows, I try to be pro-freedom and enjoy freedom myself. I just see, and perhaps focus too much on, the costs of many activities and the need to limit our impacts. My lawn mower is the push type for this reason (and I have relatively little lawn with other landscaping both to save water and because its hard to mow a lawn without gas or electricity). I'm very concerned about our use of 2-stroke engines for so many activities we don't need to use them for (leaf blowers, snow blowers, four-wheelers that are used to drive around neighborhoods) because the pollute about 5 times as much as 4-stroke engines. I think we need regulations here and there to push us into better practices that we avoid just because people don't know or care. We could maintain many freedoms, and enjoy lower costs of use, if we just made better choices.

So, if I shovel my snow and have a roll in the hay with the next door neighbor right after that I am as morally wrong as using my snowblower?

Guess that would be right. But the former sounds like a lot more fun than the latter, so I'd go with that. ;D

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by gopherus - 2006/12/15 12:43

HDnotmetric wrote:

gopherus wrote:

Angie wrote:

gopherus wrote:

Versus wrote:

gopherus wrote:

...people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel.

Gopherus, I modified the sentence so that you can't tell if you're talking about nascar or just people christmas shopping... ;)

I'll use this response as a jumping off point to make myself clear. Yes, you are correct, Christmas shopping and other activities that promote excessive driving do provide monetary support for terrorism through oil revenues and increase the pollution in our air. I understand that people view these as activities that have to be done, and many activities that require driving are necessary. In some cases people can reduce their impact by taking public transportation, in other cases they can't. In my view such activities are only immoral when there are other options available that use less fuel (though all of our gasoline use promotes terrorism in a small way by fueling the economies of those areas that support it). Now NASCAR is a different story. It is not necessary, it is a recreational activity. You may think that I'm out of line for suggesting that it promotes terrorism, but the link is valid if not direct. At the very least NASCAR is a squandering of resources both fuel and the petroleum used to make tires and asphalt. It is also a waste of land and a producer of both greenhouse gasses and local pollution that damages our health. In essence, NASCAR is an activity some enjoy that places others at risk and wastes resources we will soon, and should already, view as precious. NASCAR is not just a stupid sport like bowling, it is much more resource intensive. I know that people will choose to use resources unwisely at times, I'm no exception, but I can't support such an obvious waste. I'll take whatever beatings people want to give me on this. Sometimes you just have to stick by your morals.

Gopherus, I admire your stance on this. I'll give you applause for that.

Out of curiosity, do you have similar opinions of off road vehicles? I would imagine so but don't want to make that assumption.

Not trying to start a whole new fight...that's just something I sometimes argue with my family about so I'm interested.

Yeah, pretty much the same view. It sucks too, because I had a motorcycle (4-stroke, much cleaner burning than 2-strokes) as a kid and loved it. I really want another motorcycle (my last was a honda XR 350) but morally it would be like having an affair or something. Aside from the fuel use I couldn't justify it because I've researched the impacts of Off Highway Vehicles (OHVs) on desert organisms (not book research, primary research in the field for my dissertation). Given the growth in OHV use I just don't need to add more pressure on the environment. I do feel that OHV use can be managed appropriately to limit these impacts, but unconstrained growth will lead to horrible impacts. Its sad to see, but the OHV industry is headed for a downturn at some point because of population growth. Perhaps the economy will be damaged enough in the future to limit OHV recreation mostly to the wealthy (also sad to see), but we'll be fighting over this issue for the next several decades.

Just so everyone knows, I try to be pro-freedom and enjoy freedom myself. I just see, and perhaps focus too much on, the costs of many activities and the need to limit our impacts. My lawn mower is the push type for this reason (and I have relatively little lawn with other landscaping both to save water and because its hard to mow a lawn without gas or electricity). I'm very concerned about our use of 2-stroke engines for so many activities we don't need to use them for (leaf blowers, snow blowers, four-wheelers that are used to drive around neighborhoods) because the pollute about 5

times as much as 4-stroke engines. I think we need regulations here and there to push us into better practices that we avoid just because people don't know or care. We could maintain many freedoms, and enjoy lower costs of use, if we just made better choices.

So, if I shovel my snow and have a roll in the hay with the next door neighbor right after that I am as morally wrong as using my snowblower?

I've said this before, but its worth repeating. I don't believe in a universal moral law. Each of us has some autonomy in determining our morals. Some would argue against this and find many of my actions immoral just as I would find their actions that they justify as moral because nothing says it isn't as being immoral. If you are elderly and cannot shovel your driveway I wouldn't find your use of a snow blower immoral. If you are young and fit I would, but that doesn't mean that you have to. Like most moral issues there is a gray area for those who are getting a little older but could probably still shovel. You might find extramarital sex particularly offensive and rate it above other sins. I think of it as a very personal, but very immoral act; that should let you know how I feel about wasting resources and how much moral pain I have living in a rural area where it is harder to be friendly to the environment than it is in a more urban area (in some respects, in others it is much easier such as the ease I have walking to work). I don't think of wasting resources as a little sin (e.g. profanity use or for those who are LDS having a beer), but as a mid-level sin. You don't have to have my morals, but perhaps someday I'll write them down in a book and attempt to force others to follow them (I might first change my name to Jimmy like the great evangelists).

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/15 12:50

gopherus wrote:

HDnotmetric wrote:

gopherus wrote:

Angie wrote:

gopherus wrote:

Versus wrote:

gopherus wrote:

...people drive around a circle for hours wasting a lot of fuel.

Gopherus, I modified the sentence so that you can't tell if you're talking about nascar or just people christmas shopping... ;)

I'll use this response as a jumping off point to make myself clear. Yes, you are correct, Christmas shopping and other activities that promote excessive driving do provide monetary support for terrorism through oil revenues and increase the pollution in our air. I understand that people view these as activities that have to be done, and many activities that require driving are necessary. In some cases people can reduce their impact by taking public transportation, in other cases they can't. In my view such activities are only immoral when there are other options available that use less fuel (though all of our gasoline use promotes terrorism in a small way by fueling the economies of those areas that support it).

Now NASCAR is a different story. It is not necessary, it is a recreational activity. You may think that I'm out of line for suggesting that it promotes terrorism, but the link is valid if not direct. At the very least NASCAR is a squandering of resources both fuel and the petroleum used to make tires and asphalt. It is also a waste of land and a producer of both greenhouse gasses and local pollution that damages our health. In essence, NASCAR is an activity some enjoy that places others at risk and wastes resources we will soon, and should already, view as precious. NASCAR is not just a stupid sport like bowling, it is much more resource intensive. I know that people will choose to use resources unwisely at times, I'm no exception, but I can't support such an obvious waste. I'll take whatever beatings people want to give me on this. Sometimes you just have to stick by your morals.

Gopherus, I admire your stance on this. I'll give you applause for that.

Out of curiosity, do you have similar opinions of off road vehicles? I would imagine so but don't want to make that assumption.

Not trying to start a whole new fight...that's just something I sometimes argue with my family about so I'm interested.

Yeah, pretty much the same view. It sucks too, because I had a motorcycle (4-stroke, much cleaner burning than 2-strokes) as a kid and loved it. I really want another motorcycle (my last was a honda XR 350) but morally it would be like having an affair or something. Aside from the fuel use I couldn't justify it because I've researched the impacts of Off Highway Vehicles (OHVs) on desert organisms (not book research, primary research in the field for my dissertation). Given the growth in OHV use I just don't need to add more pressure on the environment. I do feel that OHV use can be managed appropriately to limit these impacts, but unconstrained growth will lead to horrible impacts. Its sad to see, but the OHV industry is headed for a downturn at some point because of population growth. Perhaps the economy will be damaged enough in the future to limit OHV recreation mostly to the wealthy (also sad to see), but we'll be fighting over this issue for the next several decades.

Just so everyone knows, I try to be pro-freedom and enjoy freedom myself. I just see, and perhaps focus too much on, the costs of many activities and the need to limit our impacts. My lawn mower is the push type for this reason (and I have relatively little lawn with other landscaping both to save water and because its hard to mow a lawn without gas or electricity). I'm very concerned about our use of 2-stroke engines for so many activities we don't need to use them for (leaf blowers, snow blowers, four-wheelers that are used to drive around neighborhoods) because the pollute about 5 times as much as 4-stroke engines. I think we need regulations here and there to push us into better practices that we avoid just because people don't know or care. We could maintain many freedoms, and enjoy lower costs of use, if we just made better choices.

So, if I shovel my snow and have a roll in the hay with the next door neighbor right after that I am as morally wrong as using my snowblower?

I've said this before, but its worth repeating. I don't believe in a universal moral law. Each of us has some autonomy in determining our morals. Some would argue against this and find many of my actions immoral just as I would find their actions that they justify as moral because nothing says it isn't as being immoral. If you are elderly and cannot shovel your driveway I wouldn't find your use of a snow blower immoral. If you are young and fit I would, but that doesn't mean that you have to. Like most moral issues there is a gray area for those who are getting a little older but could probably still shovel. You might find extramarital sex particularly offensive and rate it above other sins. I think of it as a very personal, but very immoral act; that should let you know how I feel about wasting resources and how much moral pain I have living in a rural area where it is harder to be friendly to the environment than it is in a more urban area (in some respects, in others it is much easier such as the ease I have walking to work). I don't think of wasting resources as a little sin (e.g. profanity use or for those who are LDS having a beer), but as a mid-level sin. You don't have to have my morals, but perhaps someday I'll write them down in a book and attempt to force others to follow them (I might first change my name to Jimmy like the great evangelists).

Good post. Thanks gopherus.

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Rushn02 - 2006/12/15 13:28

prisonpig wrote:

The Daily Herald also snubs Ute fans as well. I've noticed when the Ute's win there may be a small article about it in the Herald but when they lose, well there's a much bigger article about it in the Herald. Personally, I think BYU actually owns the Herald just like everything else in Provo.

Now, now, now...Let's not turn this into an "I hate BYU and Provo" dicussion-we were talking about hating NASCAR.

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/15 18:01

gopherus,

So if I read you right, you would also put Football, Basketball, Baseball, and soccer all in the same class as Nascar.

Since all would require the use of resources to get there to watch or participate.

In fact, I would guess there would be a lot more sports that would fit into this same realm. Is that right?

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/15 23:45

Well, how about if we get back to the subject.

Do you think that the Daily Herald should have reported the final race of the year and the Winner of the Nascar Nextel Cup?

To this day, there has not be a single thing in the Sports Pages of the Herald about who won the series or who won the last race of the year.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Versus - 2006/12/16 00:44

MEgus wrote:

gopherus,

So if I read you right, you would also put Football, Basketball, Baseball, and soccer all in the same class as Nascar.

Since all would require the use of resources to get there to watch or participate.

In fact, I would guess there would be a lot more sports that would fit into this same realm. Is that right?

Is it really a sport though? I mean ball room dancing is extremely labor intensive, but I don't consider that a sport. What is the definition of a sport...does anyone know?

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Pittakos - 2006/12/16 06:22

I wish they would report the results of bullfights. This is an important cultural sport and you'd be surprised at how many people in Utah follow this sport. (Well, given our fast changing demographics, maybe you wouldn't be surprised.) It is also a clean sport. There is no wasting of natural resources. It's just the bull's brawn against man's superior intelligence. And after the contest, everyone gets a burger. Now how efficient is that?! I wonder if we could get our own league. Hmmm, this might be Rocky's chance to redeem his city and get that stadium on the west side that he has been wanting.

O!@!

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by gopherus - 2006/12/16 08:20

MEgus wrote:

gopherus,

So if I read you right, you would also put Football, Basketball, Baseball, and soccer all in the same class as Nascar.

Since all would require the use of resources to get there to watch or participate.

In fact, I would guess there would be a lot more sports that would fit into this same realm. Is that right?

You didn't read me right. I not only don't believe in a moral law, but I also don't believe in moral absolutism (perhaps these beliefs go hand-in-hand). Sure, wasting our resources to get to sporting events tinges on immoral in my opinion, but what are the benefits. Perhaps we are talking about a family outing or developing a community spirit. There are certainly positive factors to weigh against the negative. I recognize that these occur with NASCAR as well. A fundamental difference is the nature of the activity. When you consider an activity you have to consider many factors such as the footprint of the stadium which must be weighed against the variety of uses, and amount of use, it sees. You also have to consider the nature of the activities themselves. I don't think you want to tell me that the same resources are used by players of any sport and the automobiles of NASCAR. NASCAR would be better compared to air shows which, though I know a number of people enjoy them, I cannot support because of the resource use and pollution involved in the creation of entertainment. Now, before I start attending Jazz games I might ask myself how I can lessen my impact. First, I can look for public transportation to the game (a commuter line from Utah Co. would help). Second, I can try to lessen my impact at the game (can I purchase a low impact food or beverage in a recycleable container; energy production through arcing at landfills might make me feel better about the packaging usually offered). Perhaps I'd have to spend a little more than the base amount to have a reduced impact, if I can afford this I can just wait a little longer.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/16 11:32

"what are the benefits. Perhaps we are talking about a family outing or developing a community spirit. There are certainly positive factors to weigh against the negative. I recognize that these occur with NASCAR as well."

Do You?

I have been a big sports fan of many different sports over the years. I didn't really get into Nascar until my son did. He wants to be a driver some day.

Well, I can tell you that the Fans of Nascar are far more family oriented than the fans of any other major sport I've ever been too. How many other Major Sports start with Prayer?

What's more, when you are there, everyone becomes a part of the big Nascar Family. It doesn't matter which driver you are for, everyone is happy to be there and happy that you are there. You can go into the parking lot and be invited to tailgate party after tailgate party with people you have never seen before. And if you are ever in need, there will be many people who will jump at the chance to help you.

That is something I never expected because I had never experienced it at any other sporting event I had ever been too in my life.

I've seen BYU fans boo the other team for making a touchdown when BYU was ahead by 24 points. I have seen basket ball fans throw stuff at the apposing teams and swear and call them names. I could go on, but you get the idea. I have seen many things over the years, but in no other sport have I seen this sense of family tradition and family values as I have seen in Nascar.

Do the positive factors out weigh the negative? I think they do. In fact, I think they do more so than in any other sport.

That's my take on Nascar.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Pittakos - 2006/12/16 13:42

What about the bullfights, though?! You all are seriously getting off track here.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/16 14:17

The one, The only -- Pittakos wrote:

What about the bullfights, though?! You all are seriously getting off track here.

You know Pittakos, I think your right.

We could get some serious good will out of putting up a Bullfight league

Of course, it would only work if the Daily Herald gave us some coverage though.

Of course, we might have some trouble with the Animal rights people, but if we could get the Global Warming people on our side who know that cows contribute more to global warming than man does we'd have a slam dunk.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by gopherus - 2006/12/17 17:33

MEgus wrote:

The one, The only -- Pittakos wrote:

What about the bullfights, though?! You all are seriously getting off track here.

You know Pittakos, I think your right.

We could get some serious good will out of putting up a Bullfight league

Of course, it would only work if the Daily Herald gave us some coverage though.

Of course, we might have some trouble with the Animal rights people, but if we could get the Global Warming people on our side who know that cows contribute more to global warming than man does we'd have a slam dunk.

If you'll agree not to let any more cows breed you have my blessing to kill the current ones in bullfights.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/17 20:31

The chances that that will happen are about the same as having full Nascar coverage in the Herald don't you think?

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Pittakos - 2006/12/18 08:25

What's wrong with cows breeding? They have feelings too, you know. Even cows deserve to have a little whoopee once in a while. Just try stopping cows from breeding and I'll report you to the American Cow Libido Union! Specieist!

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by gopherus - 2006/12/18 09:11

The one, The only -- Pittakos wrote:

What's wrong with cows breeding? They have feelings too, you know. Even cows deserve to have a little whoopee once in a while. Just try stopping cows from breeding and I'll report you to the American Cow Libido Union! Specieist!

Cows are man made, I don't think the speciesist (there appears to be no agreement on the spelling of this designation) argument applies outside of basic humane treatment (that they generally don't get from industry).

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/18 11:19

gopherus wrote:

The one, The only -- Pittakos wrote:

What's wrong with cows breeding? They have feelings too, you know. Even cows deserve to have a little whoopee once in a while. Just try stopping cows from breeding and I'll report you to the American Cow Libido Union! Specieist!

Cows are man made, I don't think the speciesist (there appears to be no agreement on the spelling of this designation) argument applies outside of basic humane treatment (that they generally don't get from industry).

You lost me on this one. I know there has been some cloning of cows but I am sure that most cows are not man made. I do agree that the treatment of livestock by the meat industry should be improved but I still eat meat and do not feel it is immoral to do so.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by gopherus - 2006/12/18 11:26

HDnotmetric wrote:

gopherus wrote:

The one, The only -- Pittakos wrote:

What's wrong with cows breeding? They have feelings too, you know. Even cows deserve to have a little whoopee once in a while. Just try stopping cows from breeding and I'll report you to the American Cow Libido Union! Specieist!

Cows are man made, I don't think the speciesist (there appears to be no agreement on the spelling of this designation) argument applies outside of basic humane treatment (that they generally don't get from industry).

You lost me on this one. I know there has been some cloning of cows but I am sure that most cows are not man made. I do agree that the treatment of livestock by the meat industry should be improved but I still eat meat and do not feel it is immoral to do so.

Cows are a product of a long history of artificial selection. What you eat is purely a domestic animal rather than a product of natural selection. If we quit eating cow (I do advocate this, but you can make your own choice) we need to use them up rather than set them free. That's where I was going.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Pittakos - 2006/12/18 12:17

They're still entitled to a little whoopee, though.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/18 13:05

The one, The only -- Pittakos wrote:

They're still entitled to a little whoopee, though.
I prefer Whoppers over cow whoopee.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/18 13:56

Sooo, if we go back to eating Long Horns it would be OK.

Do you think they were the cause of global warming that took us out of the ice age?

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by austindm - 2006/12/18 14:03

HDnotmetric wrote:

The one, The only -- Pittakos wrote:

They're still entitled to a little whoopee, though.
I prefer Whoppers over cow whoopee.

Whatever floats your boat, senior. ;)

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by HDnotmetric - 2006/12/18 14:46

austindm wrote:

HDnotmetric wrote:

The one, The only -- Pittakos wrote:

They're still entitled to a little whoopee, though.
I prefer Whoppers over cow whoopee.

Whatever floats your boat, senior. ;)
That would be a whoopee cushion. :)

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by austindm - 2006/12/18 14:50

HDnotmetric wrote:

austindm wrote:

HDnotmetric wrote:

The one, The only -- Pittakos wrote:

They're still entitled to a little whoopee, though.
I prefer Whoppers over cow whoopee.

Whatever floats your boat, senior. ;)
That would be a whoopee cushion. ;)

:D good one! :D

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/18 15:11

austindm wrote:

HDnotmetric wrote:

austindm wrote:

HDnotmetric wrote:

The one, The only -- Pittakos wrote:

They're still entitled to a little whoopee, though.
I prefer Whoppers over cow whoopee.

Whatever floats your boat, senior. ;)
That would be a whoopee cushion. ;)

:D good one! :D

Whoopee!!! Here we go again.

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by Poindexter - 2006/12/18 17:23

MEgus wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

And just why would you not consider it a Sport?

Are you aware that the heart rate of a Nascar driver during a race is similar to an NBA player on the court?

Can you image an NBA player having to play for 4 hours in a single game?

Only redneck sports involve the use of internal combustion engines, which makes them non-sports. I bet you also think the Zamboni is the main attraction at a hockey game.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by austindm - 2006/12/18 17:35

Poindexter wrote:

MEgus wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

And just why would you not consider it a Sport?

Are you aware that the heart rate of a Nascar driver during a race is similar to an NBA player on the court?

Can you image an NBA player having to play for 4 hours in a single game?

Only redneck sports involve the use of internal combustion engines, which makes them non-sports. I bet you also think the Zamboni is the main attraction at a hockey game.

It ISN'T????

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/18 21:42

Poindexter wrote:

MEgus wrote:

proletarian wrote:

Get off the couch, Hayseed.

It's not a sport and it never will be.

And just why would you not consider it a Sport?

Are you aware that the heart rate of a Nascar driver during a race is similar to an NBA player on the court?

Can you image an NBA player having to play for 4 hours in a single game?

Only redneck sports involve the use of internal combustion engines, which makes them non-sports. I bet you also think

the Zamboni is the main attraction at a hockey game.

Well, the Zamboni driver IS the only thing civilized at the ice fights.

But if that's your bag, go for it.

The funny thing is, I'm sure the Herald would report on the winner of the finals of Hockey, but the snub Nascar.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by proletarian - 2006/12/19 09:27

I'm sorry, but any "sport" with a fan base that embraces the Dixie Flag, Toby Keith, and big tobacco needs to be completely wiped out.

I'm thinking a gas chamber disguised as a line dancing club...

C'mon America.

Just say no to all Non-Athletic Sports Centered Around Rednecks.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/20 00:15

proletarian wrote:

I'm sorry, but any "sport" with a fan base that embraces the Dixie Flag, Toby Keith, and big tobacco needs to be completely wiped out.

I'm thinking a gas chamber disguised as a line dancing club...

C'mon America.

Just say no to all Non-Athletic Sports Centered Around Rednecks.

But wouldn't that end the University of Utah's athletic program if we did?

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by proletarian - 2006/12/20 10:52

MEgus wrote:

But wouldn't that end the University of Utah's athletic program if we did?

Yeah, you see so many motorhomes and Confederate Flags on the U campus.

::)

Last time I checked, most of the trash rolls right down the hill and settles somewhere around Rocky Mountain Raceway.

=====

Re: NASCAR is news too

Posted by megus - 2006/12/20 17:40

proletarian wrote:

MEgus wrote:

But wouldn't that end the University of Utah's athletic program if we did?

Yeah, you see so many motorhomes and Confederate Flags on the U campus.

::)

Last time I checked, most of the trash rolls right down the hill and settles somewhere around Rocky Mountain Raceway.

Well, there is a lot more to being a red neck than Confederate Flags. And yes, lots of motorhomes at the U of U.

=====