
Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by dailyherald - 2007/03/15 16:48

Your front-page article highlighting the plight of illegal immigrants whose children are born in the United States would lead one to believe that it's the law that is at fault.

First, we regularly separate families when we send lawbreakers to jail.

Read more...

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Phantom Cat - 2007/03/16 09:17

Very well stated! The liberal paper writers' hearts are always bleeding for illegal aliens. The fact that they are breaking the law and forcing down the wages of some of America's most vulnerable native workers doesn't concern them in the least.

Illegal immigration needs to be stopped cold!!! No more amnesties and no more politicians pushing it. Crack down on employers who hire illegals. When the jobs (and other incentives) dry up, the illegals will go home. Otherwise, start learning Spanish and prepare to watch your country become as corrupt as Mexico. Our politicians (i.e. Chris Cannon) are already becoming corrupt over this issue. They tell us they are against illegal immigration but do everything in their power to keep the flow of illegals coming. Their business contributors like that cheap labor.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/16 09:39

The 14th Amendment (which is used as the basis for our current policy) is a post-Civil War artifact that was intended to guarantee rights to the children of freed slaves.

Exactly right! Prior to the 14th Amendment, national citizenship was derived from state citizenship (US Constitution, Article IV, Section 2). Some states refused to recognize freed slaves as state citizens after the Civil War. The 14th Amendment created a national citizenship for those former slaves.

Amendment 14 - Citizenship Rights

1. All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

How does one become "subject to the jurisdiction thereof"? Mere birth is not enough. The 14th Amendment was ratified in 1868, yet all Native Americans were not recognized as US citizens until 1905.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/16 09:41

Vlad Tepes wrote:

Very well stated! The liberal paper writers' hearts are always bleeding for illegal aliens. The fact that they are breaking the law and forcing down the wages of some of America's most vulnerable native workers doesn't concern them in the least.

Illegal immigration needs to be stopped cold!!! No more amnesties and no more politicians pushing it. Crack down on employers who hire illegals. When the jobs (and other incentives) dry up, the illegals will go home. Otherwise, start learning Spanish and prepare to watch your country become as corrupt as Mexico. Our politicians (i.e. Chris Cannon) are already becoming corrupt over this issue. They tell us they are against illegal immigration but do everything in their power to keep the flow of illegals coming. Their business contributors like that cheap labor.

Cannon, Hatch, Bennett, et. al., are playing their part as useful idiots to bring about the seven-year reign of their Masonic Messiah.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by lance_sjogren - 2007/03/16 10:40

Johnson's letter was very well written.

People talk about how sacred this bastardization of the 14th amendment is, it's some sacred part of what America is all about.

Of course, the people that say that are the same ones that hijacked the statue of liberty to use as a hostage in an extortion effort against the American people.

Those of us who want to undo the bastardized interpretation of the 14th amendment are painted as extreme, yet virtually every country of the world has the same policy that we are advocating, because they are run by people who serve the interest of their own country, rather than being run by people like George Bush and Ted Kennedy who believe America should be slaughtered so that the less fortunate of the world can feast on its rotting carcass.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Family - 2007/03/16 11:32

Great letter with concise arguments. This is an ammendment that needs changing.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/16 12:58

Since the 14th Amendment likely will not be changed anytime in the near or far future, I suppose you kooks will continue to gather under the sheet of ignorance and continue to rant. Have fun!

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by M Butler - 2007/03/16 13:03

The headline writer is wrong of course. Maybe he or she was just trying to fit it into the limited space, but the children are not "illegal immigrants."

They are, of course, natural born citizens of the United States.

The U.S. and many other countries in the Americas follow the jus soli in granting citizenship to all who are born within its borders.

Sure, the Native Americans weren't citizens until 100 years ago, but then what other group of people living within the U.S. did the government enter into (and break, of course) treaties with? None. Because only the Native Americans were recognized as separate sovereign nations. (Look at the Commerce Clause, where Congress is give authority to regulate commerce "with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes". The Indians are sui generis,

and their status isn't relevant to any other people.)

Changing the 14th amendment would not persuade people not to come to the U.S. Nor would it persuade people to leave. It would help create a perpetual class of non-citizens, who could be preyed upon by corrupt employers or corrupt police or corrupt politicians. I don't think that's a place we want to go.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/16 15:43

M Butler wrote:

The headline writer is wrong of course. Maybe he or she was just trying to fit it into the limited space, but the children are not "illegal immigrants."

They are, of course, natural born citizens of the United States.

The U.S. and many other countries in the Americas follow the jus soli in granting citizenship to all who are born within its borders.

Sure, the Native Americans weren't citizens until 100 years ago, but then what other group of people living within the U.S. did the government enter into (and break, of course) treaties with? None. Because only the Native Americans were recognized as separate sovereign nations. (Look at the Commerce Clause, where Congress is give authority to regulate commerce "with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes". The Indians are sui generis, and their status isn't relevant to any other people.)

Changing the 14th amendment would not persuade people not to come to the U.S. Nor would it persuade people to leave. It would help create a perpetual class of non-citizens, who could be preyed upon by corrupt employers or corrupt police or corrupt politicians. I don't think that's a place we want to go.

Leave it to Butler to omit facts! Native tribes are separate sovereign nations? The key element is the federal government is trustee for those so-called sovereigns. Do sovereigns need federal and state permission to open casinos? Tell your fan club what a trustee is, Butler. Perhaps Butler can find their missing billions of dollars over at the BIA, being held in trust for them!

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by M Butler - 2007/03/16 20:40

The Keeper wrote:

M Butler wrote:

The headline writer is wrong of course. Maybe he or she was just trying to fit it into the limited space, but the children are not "illegal immigrants."

They are, of course, natural born citizens of the United States.

The U.S. and many other countries in the Americas follow the jus soli in granting citizenship to all who are born within its borders.

Sure, the Native Americans weren't citizens until 100 years ago, but then what other group of people living within the U.S. did the government enter into (and break, of course) treaties with? None. Because only the Native Americans were recognized as separate sovereign nations. (Look at the Commerce Clause, where Congress is give authority to regulate commerce "with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes". The Indians are sui generis, and their status isn't relevant to any other people.)

Changing the 14th amendment would not persuade people not to come to the U.S. Nor would it persuade people to leave. It would help create a perpetual class of non-citizens, who could be preyed upon by corrupt employers or corrupt police or corrupt politicians. I don't think that's a place we want to go.

Leave it to Butler to omit facts! Native tribes are separate sovereign nations? The key element is the federal government is trustee for those so-called sovereigns. Do sovereigns need federal and state permission to open casinos? Tell your fan club what a trustee is, Butler. Perhaps Butler can find their missing billions of dollars over at the BIA, being held in trust for them!

Someday when I have time I'll try to teach you the past tense.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by goodintentions - 2007/03/17 07:48

M Butler wrote:

The Keeper wrote:

M Butler wrote:

The headline writer is wrong of course. Maybe he or she was just trying to fit it into the limited space, but the children are not "illegal immigrants."

They are, of course, natural born citizens of the United States.

The U.S. and many other countries in the Americas follow the jus soli in granting citizenship to all who are born within its borders.

Sure, the Native Americans weren't citizens until 100 years ago, but then what other group of people living within the U.S. did the government enter into (and break, of course) treaties with? None. Because only the Native Americans were recognized as separate sovereign nations. (Look at the Commerce Clause, where Congress is give authority to regulate commerce "with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes". The Indians are sui generis, and their status isn't relevant to any other people.)

Changing the 14th amendment would not persuade people not to come to the U.S. Nor would it persuade people to leave. It would help create a perpetual class of non-citizens, who could be preyed upon by corrupt employers or corrupt police or corrupt politicians. I don't think that's a place we want to go.

Leave it to Butler to omit facts! Native tribes are separate sovereign nations? The key element is the federal government is trustee for those so-called sovereigns. Do sovereigns need federal and state permission to open casinos? Tell your fan club what a trustee is, Butler. Perhaps Butler can find their missing billions of dollars over at the BIA, being held in trust for them!

Someday when I have time I'll try to teach you the past tense.

just when this was getting interesting. what a cop out. The rest of us want to hear this one. What's the matter.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/17 09:24

M Butler wrote:

The Keeper wrote:

M Butler wrote:

The headline writer is wrong of course. Maybe he or she was just trying to fit it into the limited space, but the children are

not "illegal immigrants."

They are, of course, natural born citizens of the United States.

The U.S. and many other countries in the Americas follow the jus soli in granting citizenship to all who are born within its borders.

Sure, the Native Americans weren't citizens until 100 years ago, but then what other group of people living within the U.S. did the government enter into (and break, of course) treaties with? None. Because only the Native Americans were recognized as separate sovereign nations. (Look at the Commerce Clause, where Congress is give authority to regulate commerce "with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes". The Indians are sui generis, and their status isn't relevant to any other people.)

Changing the 14th amendment would not persuade people not to come to the U.S. Nor would it persuade people to leave. It would help create a perpetual class of non-citizens, who could be preyed upon by corrupt employers or corrupt police or corrupt politicians. I don't think that's a place we want to go.

Leave it to Butler to omit facts! Native tribes are separate sovereign nations? The key element is the federal government is trustee for those so-called sovereigns. Do sovereigns need federal and state permission to open casinos? Tell your fan club what a trustee is, Butler. Perhaps Butler can find their missing billions of dollars over at the BIA, being held in trust for them!

Someday when I have time I'll try to teach you the past tense.

Nope, it is the loonies who omit facts and admit errors.

Butler is right that "changing the 14th amendment" is not going to stop people from coming to the US. And the main point is this -- the overwhelming majority of citizens and the legislatures have absolutely no interest in changing it.

So go crawl under your rocks, for the bright sun of truth shines on you.

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/17 11:07

Wren wrote:

M Butler wrote:

The Keeper wrote:

M Butler wrote:

The headline writer is wrong of course. Maybe he or she was just trying to fit it into the limited space, but the children are not "illegal immigrants."

They are, of course, natural born citizens of the United States.

The U.S. and many other countries in the Americas follow the jus soli in granting citizenship to all who are born within its borders.

Sure, the Native Americans weren't citizens until 100 years ago, but then what other group of people living within the U.S. did the government enter into (and break, of course) treaties with? None. Because only the Native Americans were recognized as separate sovereign nations. (Look at the Commerce Clause, where Congress is give authority to regulate commerce "with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes". The Indians are sui generis, and their status isn't relevant to any other people.)

Changing the 14th amendment would not persuade people not to come to the U.S. Nor would it persuade people to leave. It would help create a perpetual class of non-citizens, who could be preyed upon by corrupt employers or corrupt police or corrupt politicians. I don't think that's a place we want to go.

Leave it to Butler to omit facts! Native tribes are separate sovereign nations? The key element is the federal government is trustee for those so-called sovereigns. Do sovereigns need federal and state permission to open casinos? Tell your fan club what a trustee is, Butler. Perhaps Butler can find their missing billions of dollars over at the BIA, being held in trust for them!

Someday when I have time I'll try to teach you the past tense.

Nope, it is the loonies who omit facts and admit errors.

Butler is right that "changing the 14th amendment" is not going to stop people from coming to the US. And the main point is this -- the overwhelming majority of citizens and the legislatures have absolutely no interest in changing it.

So go crawl under your rocks, for the bright sun of truth shines on you.

Who said the 14th Amendment is the "silver bullet" for immigration? And when did you become the "overwhelming majority"?

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by lance_sjogren - 2007/03/17 13:05

"And the main point is this -- the overwhelming majority of citizens and the legislatures have absolutely no interest in changing it."

The Rasumssen poll that came out I believe in late 2005 showed a plurality of Americans supporting abolition of birthright citizenship.

You have any facts to the contrary?

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by lance_sjogren - 2007/03/17 13:07

(by the way, when I ask Wren or Butler "do you have any facts", everyone understands that is a rhetorical question, right?)

:D

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Russ - 2007/03/17 13:29

lance_sjogren wrote:

(by the way, when I ask Wren or Butler "do you have any facts", everyone understands that is a rhetorical question, right?)

:D

But Lance,

Surely, you understand that Butler and Wren believe that they somehow have the right to toss out "facts", while the rest of us, should be be brash enough to assume that we speak for the majority, will definitely will be called to account and called names for such behavior.

The illegal alien invasion that we face is in part, backed up by pure intimidation, and if they can get away with it, they we attempt to overwhelm us with "their" truth - in spite of the facts.

My question is why these protesters, who we pretty much understand to be illegal aliens (otherwise, why would they bother?), have ANY right to be protesting what WE do within OUR government? If it were up to me, I would be having ICE and enough paddy wagons around to deal with these protests.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/17 14:38

lance_sjogren wrote:

"And the main point is this -- the overwhelming majority of citizens and the legislatures have absolutely no interest in changing it."

The Rasumssen poll that came out I believe in late 2005 showed a plurality of Americans supporting abolition of birthright citizenship.

You have any facts to the contrary?

Lance, you are such a sucker for the whack jobs. Your poll was flawed mucho as is your logic. The polls continually state that a solid majority of citizens support birthright citizenship and no changing of the 14th Amendment. Your major candidates on the issue not just lost, they got their butts handed to them, as Butler is doing to you guys.

Keep it up, though. I use your stuff in class, and it keeps the students laughing at your (lack of) logic and typical (Know Nothing) nativism.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/17 16:14

Wren wrote:

lance_sjogren wrote:

"And the main point is this -- the overwhelming majority of citizens and the legislatures have absolutely no interest in changing it."

The Rasumssen poll that came out I believe in late 2005 showed a plurality of Americans supporting abolition of birthright citizenship.

You have any facts to the contrary?

Lance, you are such a sucker for the whack jobs. Your poll was flawed mucho as is your logic. The polls continually state that a solid majority of citizens support birthright citizenship and no changing of the 14th Amendment. Your major candidates on the issue not just lost, they got their butts handed to them, as Butler is doing to you guys.

Keep it up, though. I use your stuff in class, and it keeps the students laughing at your (lack of) logic and typical (Know Nothing) nativism.

The only thing that "keeps the students laughing" in your class is the threat of poor grades by their socialist left-wing instructor! A common affliction in American classrooms these days.

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Russ - 2007/03/17 16:30

Wren wrote:

lance_sjogren wrote:

"And the main point is this -- the overwhelming majority of citizens and the legislatures have absolutely no interest in changing it."

The Rasumssen poll that came out I believe in late 2005 showed a plurality of Americans supporting abolition of birthright citizenship.

You have any facts to the contrary?

Lance, you are such a sucker for the whack jobs. Your poll was flawed mucho as is your logic. The polls continually state that a solid majority of citizens support birthright citizenship and no changing of the 14th Amendment. Your major candidates on the issue not just lost, they got their butts handed to them, as Butler is doing to you guys.

Keep it up, though. I use your stuff in class, and it keeps the students laughing at your (lack of) logic and typical (Know Nothing) nativism.

"Polls continually state"? What the heck are you blabbering about? You may NOT make blanket statements like that without at the very least pointing to some of your evidence that you are not simply lying.

Show us your "Polls that continually state that a solid majority of citizens support" your BS. Show us - or cease with the lies and BS.

Within the last day or two, I pointed to TWO polls in this very paper that stated otherwise. Where do you get the "Polls continually state" crap you are peddling?

Now, if your sources are from Mexico City, then I could understand.... If they are not, then I feel perfectly free to just call you an out and out liar because you think that you can get away with absolute falsehoods.

Well, you can't.

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by M Butler - 2007/03/17 22:40

Russ wrote:

lance_sjogren wrote:

(by the way, when I ask Wren or Butler "do you have any facts", everyone understands that is a rhetorical question, right?)

:D

But Lance,

Surely, you understand that Butler and Wren believe that they somehow have the right to toss out "facts", while the rest of us, should be be brash enough to assume that we speak for the majority, will definitely will be called to account and called names for such behavior.

The illegal alien invasion that we face is in part, backed up by pure intimidation, and if they can get away with it, they we attempt to overwhelm us with "their" truth - in spite of the facts.

My question is why these protesters, who we pretty much understand to be illegal aliens (otherwise, why would they bother?), have ANY right to be protesting what WE do within OUR government? If it were up to me, I would be having ICE and enough paddy wagons around to deal with these protests.

Thankfully, it's not up to you.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by anonymous - 2007/03/17 23:33

Wren wrote:

The polls continually state that a solid majority of citizens support birthright citizenship and no changing of the 14th Amendment.

Well this debate is over. Alright boys, open the gates and let `em in!

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by anonymous - 2007/03/17 23:51

M Butler wrote:

Russ wrote:

lance_sjogren wrote:

(by the way, when I ask Wren or Butler "do you have any facts", everyone understands that is a rhetorical question, right?)

:D

But Lance,

Surely, you understand that Butler and Wren believe that they somehow have the right to toss out "facts", while the rest of us, should be be brash enough to assume that we speak for the majority, will definitely will be called to account and called names for such behavior.

The illegal alien invasion that we face is in part, backed up by pure intimidation, and if they can get away with it, they we attempt to overwhelm us with "their" truth - in spite of the facts.

My question is why these protesters, who we pretty much understand to be illegal aliens (otherwise, why would they bother?), have ANY right to be protesting what WE do within OUR government? If it were up to me, I would be having ICE and enough paddy wagons around to deal with these protests.

Thankfully, it's not up to you.

And even MORE thankfully, it's not up to YOU! The kind of pro-illegal alien slurry your splatting all over the boards these days is pure anarchy. You don't have enough evidence to support your arguments so you attack the credibility of the others.

Let's face it. You might have won with a few hundred thousand illegals sneaking in, but it's just too many now to say it's without huge overhead, and simply stupid to blindly allow an amnesty.

Either way, it's going to cost a lot and require a lot of time. And either way, it's going to be "impossible" to facilitate. In the

absence of the "easy" way, therefore, we must not ignore the "right" way.

I'd rather accidentally show a "good" worker to the door than accidentally allow a rapist and child molester (or terrorist) to enter my neighborhood.

The United States has long forgotten about its own, millions roam the streets searching for food and shelter, yet we let more and more in to roam with them.

Sorry, but charities and homeless shelters are getting burned out, as am I.

You think there's a lack of empathy in America now, wait until legal American citizens are even further demoralized by the lack of integrity displayed by our government in the amnesty issue by giving millions "free" citizenship with only "paper" requirements that won't be met, and they know it.

I'll pay a dollar or two more for my Tyson chicken if I have to, but the only thing that's going to stop the illegal immigration is one of two things:

1. America continues down its path of corruption until it's worse than the other countries from which citizens immigrate.
2. We do something to stop the flow - OVERFLOW, that is. Some is okay, but it's TOO MANY!!

There have been too many abuse America's generous offer of the "American Dream" for only the cost of admission, which might only be a swim across the Rio Grande, to ignore. There's a reason why business who offer a great bargain say things like, "first 100 customers only", because there ain't enough "America" to go around.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/18 14:32

You can look up the polls, and you will find they generally state what I summed up. And, OF COURSE, I may state this, silly boy.

If you folks believe eviscerating the 14th Amendment will lead to your desired results, you are ignoring the fact that you will get the majoritarian police state of which you are frightened.

The 14th Amendment protects all citizens, you, me, Butler, and birthright citizens.

But, OF COURSE, you have no hope of amending it, because the Constitution decides how to amend it, not you, not Congress, not me.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/18 15:55

Wren wrote:

You can look up the polls, and you will find they generally state what I summed up. And, OF COURSE, I may state this, silly boy.

If you folks believe eviscerating the 14th Amendment will lead to your desired results, you are ignoring the fact that you will get the majoritarian police state of which you are frightened.

The 14th Amendment protects all citizens, you, me, Butler, and birthright citizens.

But, OF COURSE, you have no hope of amending it, because the Constitution decides how to amend it, not you, not Congress, not me.

You seem to be stuck in replay mode about the 14th Amendment being a "silver bullet" for immigration. You're the only one to arguing that angle. The Supreme Court has already stated that mere birth does not subject one to the jurisdiction of the United States. "THE PHRASE "SUBJECT TO THE JURISDICTION" RELATES TO TIME OF BIRTH, and one not owing ALLEGIANCE AT BIRTH cannot become a citizen save by subsequent naturalization, individually or collectively.

The words do not mean merely geographical location, but "COMPLETELY SUBJECT TO THE JURISDICTION." Elk v. Wilkins, 112 U.S. 94, 102 (1884). (emphasis added)

Why are you talking about amending when Bush and his cronies just ignore the Constitution! You can still get your police state from some trumped up state of emergency.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by M Butler - 2007/03/18 17:43

The Keeper wrote:

Wren wrote:

You can look up the polls, and you will find they generally state what I summed up. And, OF COURSE, I may state this, silly boy.

If you folks believe eviscerating the 14th Amendment will lead to your desired results, you are ignoring the fact that you will get the majoritarian police state of which you are frightened.

The 14th Amendment protects all citizens, you, me, Butler, and birthright citizens.

But, OF COURSE, you have no hope of amending it, because the Constitution decides how to amend it, not you, not Congress, not me.

You seem to be stuck in replay mode about the 14th Amendment being a "silver bullet" for immigration. You're the only one to arguing that angle. The Supreme Court has already stated that mere birth does not subject one to the jurisdiction of the United States. "THE PHRASE "SUBJECT TO THE JURISDICTION" RELATES TO TIME OF BIRTH, and one not owing ALLEGIANCE AT BIRTH cannot become a citizen save by subsequent naturalization, individually or collectively. The words do not mean merely geographical location, but "COMPLETELY SUBJECT TO THE JURISDICTION." Elk v. Wilkins, 112 U.S. 94, 102 (1884). (emphasis added)

Why are you talking about amending when Bush and his cronies just ignore the Constitution! You can still get your police state from some trumped up state of emergency.

Someday you or your cronies will have to hire a lawyer. Then perhaps you will learn the difference between the "holding" in a judicial decision and "obiter dicta."

The name of the petitioner in this case (Elk) made me wonder, and a glance at the first line of the case report answers the question:

"This is an action brought by an Indian, in the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska . . ."

So, this relates to citizenship rights of Indians, who have always been treated as sui generis under the Constitution. That you would cite this case in favor of your mistaken view of the 14th Amendment suggests either that you have never received any legal training or that you are deliberately attempting to deceive.

This case is about the citizenship rights of an Indian in 1880 in Nebraska, and suggesting that it says something about persons born in the U.S. today to immigrant parents is either disingenuous or dishonest.

This language from the opinion is instructive:

"Indians born within the territorial limits of the United States, members of, and owing immediate allegiance to, one of the Indian tribes (an alien, though dependent, power), although in a geographical sense born in the United States, are no more "born in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof," within the meaning of the first section of the Fourteenth Amendment, than the children of subjects of any foreign government born within the domain of that government, or the children born within the United States, of ambassadors or other public ministers of foreign nations."

The Court thus puts three categories of persons outside the realm of citizens:

1. Indians, even if born within the geographical limits of the U.S.;
2. Children of subjects of a foreign government who are born outside the U.S.; and

3. Children born within the United States to ambassadors or other public ministers of foreign nations.

Obviously, the children of other persons within the U.S. (whether in legal immigrant status or not) do not fit within any of these categories.

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Russ - 2007/03/18 22:27

Recent quotes from Buttler:

Butt1: "Changing the 14th amendment would not persuade people not to come to the U.S. Nor would it persuade people to leave."

Butt2: "Actually, they {the Bradshaws} feel very lucky that they were able to immigrate to the U.S. and they wish all who desire to do so could find a way to obtain the same benefits of residency and citizenship that they have."

Butt3: "The {the Bradshaws} certainly don't think that people seeking a better life here are criminals just because they overstayed their visas."

Recent quotes from Wren:

Wren1: "The polls continually state that a solid majority of citizens support birthright citizenship and no changing of the 14th Amendment."

Wren2: "You can look up the polls, and you will find they generally state what I summed up."

Wren3: "Butler is right that "changing the 14th amendment" is not going to stop people from coming to the US. And the main point is this -- the overwhelming majority of citizens and the legislatures have absolutely no interest in changing it."

Wren4: "Since the 14th Amendment likely will not be changed anytime in the near or far future,...."

Questions regarding the above quotes:

Butt1: You make two blanket statements as if they were somehow a truth to be accepted without question. Please show us your sources for such a statement - or admit that they are just assumptions on your part.

Butt2: You make a blanket statement as if it was somehow a truth to be accepted without question. Please show us your sources for such a statement - or admit that it is just an assumption on your part.

Butt3: You make a blanket statement as if it was somehow a truth to be accepted without question. Please show us your sources for such a statement - or admit that it is just an assumption on your part.

Wren1: You make a blanket statement as if it was somehow a truth to be accepted without question. Please show us your sources for such a statement - or admit that it is just an assumption on your part.

Wren2: You make a blanket statement as if it was somehow a truth to be accepted without question. Please show us your sources for such a statement - or admit that it is just an assumption on your part.

Wren3: You make three blanket statements as if they were somehow a truth to be accepted without question. Please show us your sources for such a statement - or admit that they are just assumptions on your part.

Wren4: You make a blanket statement as if it was somehow a truth to be accepted without question. Please show us your sources for such a statement - or admit that it is just an assumption on your part.

You have ONLY two options:

1) Ignore my challenges to these blanket statements and thereby admit by default that you are just full of BS,

or

2) Provide creditable, citable evidence to back up your statements.

Thank you in advance for EITHER of the above options....

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by M Butler - 2007/03/19 05:52

Learn to spell and learn to question without being rude, Russ, and I'll consider responding to your questions.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/19 06:14

M Butler wrote:

Learn to spell and learn to question without being rude, Russ, and I'll consider responding to your questions.

Russ wants us to do what he does not do.

The 14th Amendment will not be changed, thus no need exists to debate the question. The Congress can't change it on its own, for the Constitution prevents, thus no need exists to debate the question.

The immigrants are not going home. The great majority have already made the US their home, many with children as birthright citizens.

How to fix the problems:

- (1) secure the borders;
- (2) make Big Business part of the solution instead of the problem;
- (3) begin a guest worker program that leads eventually to naturalization.

M. Butler, you do good work.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by WatchDog - 2007/03/19 09:28

M Butler wrote:

Learn to spell and learn to question without being rude, Russ, and I'll consider responding to your questions.

Hmmm? I personally didn't see any spelling mistakes he has made, so you need to enlighten us about what concerns you in Russ's last post. I only saw quotes and a simple question that could have easily answered, assuming you actually have answers that is. I also don't see that he is being any more rude than many of your own posts in the past.

Apparently, you have intentionally chosen his option 1. Really, he is correct, there is only two options that you have. If you are not going to answer his concerns, you have, as he said, chosen option 1.

In that you have, I would suggest you just stand down.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by WatchDog - 2007/03/19 09:38

Wren wrote:

M Butler wrote:

Learn to spell and learn to question without being rude, Russ, and I'll consider responding to your questions.

Russ wants us to do what he does not do.

The 14th Amendment will not be changed, thus no need exists to debate the question. The Congress can't change it on its own, for the Constitution prevents, thus no need exists to debate the question.

The immigrants are not going home. The great majority have already made the US their home, many with children as birthright citizens.

How to fix the problems:

- (1) secure the borders;
- (2) make Big Business part of the solution instead of the problem;
- (3) begin a guest worker program that leads eventually to naturalization.

M. Butler, you do good work.

Whether right or wrong, didn't he post polls that verified his statement? Have you?

He asked for you to back up your claims. I see little evidence of you being willing to do that. I will advise you the same as Buttler, either put up - or shut up. Provide the sources for your wild claims, or just go away.

He is right...you and Buttler have both decided to be evasive, intentionally making claims about stats & such, and seem totally unwilling to back them up. You, along with Buttler, have chosen his option number 1. Refusal to provide source material for your claims puts you both in the crackpot, windbag, category.

About the 14th: The thought occurred to me that citizenship for the child is actually a benefit for the parents that we presently grant. I am totally against ANY benefits to the criminal illegal alien. Therefore, I will be supporting the present congressional effort being made to change that court ruling. Which, by the way, if congress chooses to pass the bill, the previous court decisions in the 1800's attempting to deal with the children of slaves will no longer be valid.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/19 10:51

Wren wrote:

M Butler wrote:

Learn to spell and learn to question without being rude, Russ, and I'll consider responding to your questions.

Russ wants us to do what he does not do.

The 14th Amendment will not be changed, thus no need exists to debate the question. The Congress can't change it on its own, for the Constitution prevents, thus no need exists to debate the question.

The immigrants are not going home. The great majority have already made the US their home, many with children as birthright citizens.

How to fix the problems:

- (1) secure the borders;
- (2) make Big Business part of the solution instead of the problem;
- (3) begin a guest worker program that leads eventually to naturalization.

M. Butler, you do good work.

Congress doesn't need to change the 14th Amendment. It only takes five black robed High Priests of the law in Washington to tell us what it really states when it doesn't.

Section 1. All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside....

Prior to being submitted to the states for a vote, the 14th Amendment actually read:

Section 1. All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, except Indians NOT taxed, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside....

Congress was fully aware that some eastern tribes no longer existed as formal organizations, that those Indians from former tribes no longer had tribal affiliations, were citizens of the state wherein they resided, and were Indians taxed. Yet a majority of justices somehow divined from the language of the ratified 14th Amendment the fact that ALL Indians that had not formally surrendered to the US, and had that surrender recognized, were not US citizens.

That raises some interesting possibilities considering the fact that the indigenous peoples south of the border are extended Indian tribes. They look Indian, they tell me they consider themselves Indian. The Mexican government sends textbooks to the US extolling the virtues of their Aztec ancestry.

That being the case, the US Supreme Court has already determined that "Indians" holding allegiance to a foreign state not within the jurisdiction of the United States, including their offspring born within the USA, would be required to formally surrender to the US and have that surrender accepted.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by iceman - 2007/03/19 11:40

Even CNN disputes Butler's claims in their poll:

<http://www.cnn.com/2006/images/06/21/lou.dobbs.tonight.poll.results.pdf>

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/19 12:58

WatchDog wrote:

Wren wrote:

M Butler wrote:

Learn to spell and learn to question without being rude, Russ, and I'll consider responding to your questions.

Russ wants us to do what he does not do.

The 14th Amendment will not be changed, thus no need exists to debate the question. The Congress can't change it on its own, for the Constitution prevents, thus no need exists to debate the question.

The immigrants are not going home. The great majority have already made the US their home, many with children as

birthright citizens.

How to fix the problems:

- (1) secure the borders;
- (2) make Big Business part of the solution instead of the problem;
- (3) begin a guest worker program that leads eventually to naturalization.

M. Butler, you do good work.

Whether right or wrong, didn't he post polls that verified his statement? Have you?

He asked for you to back up your claims. I see little evidence of you being willing to do that. I will advise you the same as Buttler, either put up - or shut up. Provide the sources for your wild claims, or just go away.

He is right...you and Buttler have both decided to be evasive, intentionally making claims about stats & such, and seem totally unwilling to back them up. You, along with Buttler, have chosen his option number 1. Refusal to provide source material for your claims puts you both in the crackpot, windbag, category.

About the 14th: The thought occurred to me that citizenship for the child is actually a benefit for the parents that we presently grant. I am totally against ANY benefits to the criminal illegal alien. Therefore, I will be supporting the present congressional effort being made to change that court ruling. Which, by the way, if congress chooses to pass the bill, the previous court decisions in the 1800's attempting to deal with the children of slaves will no longer be valid.

His posts are not competently supported because his evidence remains skewed and flawed.

14th Amendment: apparently you do not understand American law or the Constitution. Congress can't change the 14th Amendment, other than begin an amendment process, which in our current climate is going to go nowhere.

You are right about one thing: if the 14th amendment is eviscerated, the government will have the same power to come after you, podjo, as it does now the immigrants.

Careful what you ask for, hmmm?

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by iceman - 2007/03/19 13:59

Let's say I break into your house:

A lady wrote the best letter in the Editorials in ages!!! It explains things better than all the baloney you hear on TV.

Her point:

Recently large demonstrations have taken place across the country protesting the fact that Congress is finally addressing the issue of illegal immigration.

Certain people are angry that the US might protect its own borders, might make it harder to sneak into this country and, once here, to stay indefinitely. Let me see if I correctly understand the thinking behind these protests. Let's say I break into your house. Let's say that when you discover

me in your house, you insist that I leave.
But I say, "I've made all
the beds and washed the
dishes and did the laundry
and swept the floors. I've
done all the things you don't
like to do. I'm hard-working
and honest
(except for when I broke into your house).

According to the protesters:
You are Required to let me stay in your house
You are Required to add me to your family's insurance plan
You are Required to Educate my kids
You are Required to Provide other benefits to me & to my family
(my husband will do all of your yard work because
he is also hard-working and honest, except for that
breaking in part).

If you try to call the police or force me out,
I will call my friends who will picket your
house carrying signs that proclaim my
RIGHT to be there.

It's only fair, after all, because you have
a nicer house than I do, and I'm just
trying to better myself. I'm a hard-working
and honest, person, except for well,
you know, I did break into your house
And what a deal it is for me!!!

I live in your house, contributing only a
fraction of the cost of my keep, and
there is nothing you can do about it
without being accused of cold,
uncaring, selfish, prejudiced, and
bigoted behavior.

Oh yeah, I DEMAND that you to learn
MY LANGUAGE!!! so you can
communicate with me.

Why can't people see how ridiculous
this is?! Only in America .
if you agree, pass it on (in English).
Share it if you see the value of it.

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/19 14:04

Wren wrote:

WatchDog wrote:

Wren wrote:

M Butler wrote:

Learn to spell and learn to question without being rude, Russ, and I'll consider responding to your questions.

Russ wants us to do what he does not do.

The 14th Amendment will not be changed, thus no need exists to debate the question. The Congress can't change it on its own, for the Constitution prevents, thus no need exists to debate the question.

The immigrants are not going home. The great majority have already made the US their home, many with children as birthright citizens.

How to fix the problems:

- (1) secure the borders;
- (2) make Big Business part of the solution instead of the problem;
- (3) begin a guest worker program that leads eventually to naturalization.

M. Butler, you do good work.

Whether right or wrong, didn't he post polls that verified his statement? Have you?

He asked for you to back up your claims. I see little evidence of you being willing to do that. I will advise you the same as Buttler, either put up - or shut up. Provide the sources for your wild claims, or just go away.

He is right...you and Buttler have both decided to be evasive, intentionally making claims about stats & such, and seem totally unwilling to back them up. You, along with Buttler, have chosen his option number 1. Refusal to provide source material for your claims puts you both in the crackpot, windbag, category.

About the 14th: The thought occurred to me that citizenship for the child is actually a benefit for the parents that we presently grant. I am totally against ANY benefits to the criminal illegal alien. Therefore, I will be supporting the present congressional effort being made to change that court ruling. Which, by the way, if congress chooses to pass the bill, the previous court decisions in the 1800's attempting to deal with the children of slaves will no longer be valid.

His posts are not competently supported because his evidence remains skewed and flawed.

14th Amendment: apparently you do not understand American law or the Constitution. Congress can't change the 14th Amendment, other than begin an amendment process, which in our current climate is going to go nowhere.

You are right about one thing: if the 14th amendment is eviscerated, the government will have the same power to come after you, podjo, as it does now the immigrants.

Careful what you ask for, hmmm?

The government already has that power by declaring you an enemy combatant!

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by M Butler - 2007/03/19 15:46

Iceman wrote:

Let's say I break into your house:

A lady wrote the best letter in the Editorials in ages!!! It explains things better than all the baloney you hear on TV.

Her point:

Recently large demonstrations have taken place across the country protesting the fact that Congress is finally addressing the issue of illegal immigration.

Certain people are angry that
the US might protect its own
borders, might make it harder
to sneak into this country and,
once here, to stay indefinitely.
Let me see if I correctly understand
the thinking behind these protests.
Let's say I break into your house.
Let's say that when you discover
me in your house, you insist that I leave.
But I say, "I've made all
the beds and washed the
dishes and did the laundry
and swept the floors. I've
done all the things you don't
like to do. I'm hard-working
and honest
(except for when I broke into your house).

According to the protesters:
You are Required to let me stay in your house
You are Required to add me to your family's insurance plan
You are Required to Educate my kids
You are Required to Provide other benefits to me & to my family
(my husband will do all of your yard work because
he is also hard-working and honest, except for that
breaking in part).

If you try to call the police or force me out,
I will call my friends who will picket your
house carrying signs that proclaim my
RIGHT to be there.

It's only fair, after all, because you have
a nicer house than I do, and I'm just
trying to better myself. I'm a hard-working
and honest, person, except for well,
you know, I did break into your house
And what a deal it is for me!!!

I live in your house, contributing only a
fraction of the cost of my keep, and
there is nothing you can do about it
without being accused of cold,
uncaring, selfish, prejudiced, and
bigoted behavior.

Oh yeah, I DEMAND that you to learn
MY LANGUAGE!!! so you can
communicate with me.

Why can't people see how ridiculous
this is?! Only in America .
if you agree, pass it on (in English).
Share it if you see the value of it.

There's just one fundamental problem. And that is, that our relationship to America is different from a homeowner's relationship to his house. You no more own this country than I do, and all the citizens together are not owners of America. So, toss the analogy--it starts on the wrong foot, and heads in the wrong direction.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/19 18:57

Very good, M. Butler, you pulled the out the plank of his argument.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by american_patriot - 2007/03/19 19:03

M Butler wrote:

Iceman wrote:

Let's say I break into your house:

A lady wrote the best letter in the Editorials in ages!!! It explains things better than all the baloney you hear on TV.

Her point:

Recently large demonstrations have taken place across the country protesting the fact that Congress is finally addressing the issue of illegal immigration.

Certain people are angry that the US might protect its own borders, might make it harder to sneak into this country and, once here, to stay indefinitely. Let me see if I correctly understand the thinking behind these protests. Let's say I break into your house. Let's say that when you discover me in your house, you insist that I leave. But I say, "I've made all the beds and washed the dishes and did the laundry and swept the floors. I've done all the things you don't like to do. I'm hard-working and honest (except for when I broke into your house).

According to the protesters:
You are Required to let me stay in your house
You are Required to add me to your family's insurance plan
You are Required to Educate my kids
You are Required to Provide other benefits to me & to my family (my husband will do all of your yard work because he is also hard-working and honest, except for that breaking in part).

If you try to call the police or force me out, I will call my friends who will picket your house carrying signs that proclaim my RIGHT to be there.

It's only fair, after all, because you have a nicer house than I do, and I'm just trying to better myself. I'm a hard-working and honest, person, except for well, you know, I did break into your house

And what a deal it is for me!!!

I live in your house, contributing only a fraction of the cost of my keep, and there is nothing you can do about it without being accused of cold, uncaring, selfish, prejudiced, and bigoted behavior.

Oh yeah, I DEMAND that you to learn MY LANGUAGE!!! so you can communicate with me.

Why can't people see how ridiculous this is?! Only in America . if you agree, pass it on (in English). Share it if you see the value of it.

There's just one fundamental problem. And that is, that our relationship to America is different from a homeowner's relationship to his house. You no more own this country than I do, and all the citizens together are not owners of America. So, toss the analogy--it starts on the wrong foot, and heads in the wrong direction.

As Yoda would say; "No, no different, only different in your mind."
True words of wisdom from a master.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by WatchDog - 2007/03/23 10:33

Wren wrote:

WatchDog wrote:

Wren wrote:

M Butler wrote:

Learn to spell and learn to question without being rude, Russ, and I'll consider responding to your questions.

Russ wants us to do what he does not do.

The 14th Amendment will not be changed, thus no need exists to debate the question. The Congress can't change it on its own, for the Constitution prevents, thus no need exists to debate the question.

The immigrants are not going home. The great majority have already made the US their home, many with children as birthright citizens.

How to fix the problems:

- (1) secure the borders;
- (2) make Big Business part of the solution instead of the problem;
- (3) begin a guest worker program that leads eventually to naturalization.

M. Butler, you do good work.

Whether right or wrong, didn't he post polls that verified his statement? Have you?

He asked for you to back up your claims. I see little evidence of you being willing to do that. I will advise you the same as Buttler, either put up - or shut up. Provide the sources for your wild claims, or just go away.

He is right...you and Buttler have both decided to be evasive, intentionally making claims about stats & such, and seem totally unwilling to back them up. You, along with Buttler, have chosen his option number 1. Refusal to provide source material for your claims puts you both in the crackpot, windbag, category.

About the 14th: The thought occurred to me that citizenship for the child is actually a benefit for the parents that we presently grant. I am totally against ANY benefits to the criminal illegal alien. Therefore, I will be supporting the present congressional effort being made to change that court ruling. Which, by the way, if congress chooses to pass the bill, the previous court decisions in the 1800's attempting to deal with the children of slaves will no longer be valid.

His posts are not competently supported because his evidence remains skewed and flawed.

14th Amendment: apparently you do not understand American law or the Constitution. Congress can't change the 14th Amendment, other than begin an amendment process, which in our current climate is going to go nowhere.

You are right about one thing: if the 14th amendment is eviscerated, the government will have the same power to come after you, podjo, as it does now the immigrants.

Careful what you ask for, hmmm?

Seems to me you need to learn to read. I DID NOT say that an effort was being made in congress to change the 14th amendment. I didn't even imply that!

It may be that your understanding of OUR language is not what it should be. Eventually, you will learn I suppose - unless you and yours get caught and deported before then. I'm working on that as well, so hang in there, at least till ICE finds you.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/23 12:08

When the time comes, it will be the right wing extremists who will be deported. Only a matter of time before they become men without countries.

Kind of harsh, huh???

You paranoid kooks do give great . . . entertainment, though.

My students don't want you deported -- they want you to keep them laughing with your silliness.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/23 12:38

Wren wrote:

When the time comes, it will be the right wing extremists who will be deported. Only a matter of time before they become men without countries.

Kind of harsh, huh???

You paranoid kooks do give great . . . entertainment, though.

My students don't want you deported -- they want you to keep them laughing with your silliness.

If your "students" are laughing it's because you're a left-wing socialist instructor holding their grades hostage!

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Russ - 2007/03/23 20:23

Wren wrote:

When the time comes, it will be the right wing extremists who will be deported. Only a matter of time before they become men without countries.

Kind of harsh, huh???

You paranoid kooks do give great . . . entertainment, though.

My students don't want you deported -- they want you to keep them laughing with your silliness.

Do you understand how little sense you make?

Our citizens, NO MATTER HOW right-winged they might be, ARE NOT EVER going to be deported.

If you actually do have students that you pass this crap off on, you should be issuing a pretty substantial disclaimer about honesty, reason, or reality for that matter with your deceptions, er, lessons.

Good grief!

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/24 11:02

The Keeper wrote:

Wren wrote:

When the time comes, it will be the right wing extremists who will be deported. Only a matter of time before they become men without countries.

Kind of harsh, huh???

You paranoid kooks do give great . . . entertainment, though.

My students don't want you deported -- they want you to keep them laughing with your silliness.

If your "students" are laughing it's because you're a left-wing socialist instructor holding their grades hostage!

Neither left-wing nor a socialist, I take great pride giving your information fair press to my students.

Yes, they are laughing at you.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/24 11:04

Russ wrote:

Wren wrote:

When the time comes, it will be the right wing extremists who will be deported. Only a matter of time before they become men without countries.

Kind of harsh, huh???

You paranoid kooks do give great . . . entertainment, though.

My students don't want you deported -- they want you to keep them laughing with your silliness.

Do you understand how little sense you make?

Our citizens, NO MATTER HOW right-winged they might be, ARE NOT EVER going to be deported.

If you actually do have students that you pass this crap off on, you should be issuing a pretty substantial disclaimer about honesty, reason, or reality for that matter with your deceptions, er, lessons.

Good grief!

Look up "parody", Russ.

My lessons are objective and balanced, but your propaganda is not, so I use it in my propaganda section, beginning with the British in WWI, the Nazi regime, and you extremist wing nuts.

The students have no problem discerning your nonsense for what it is.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by iceman - 2007/03/24 13:10

Wren wrote:

When the time comes, it will be the right wing extremists who will be deported. Only a matter of time before they become men without countries.

Kind of harsh, huh???

You paranoid kooks do give great . . . entertainment, though.

My students don't want you deported -- they want you to keep them laughing with your silliness.

You are the reason for the push on school vouchers...and the failing public school system.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/24 18:40

Iceman wrote:

Wren wrote:

When the time comes, it will be the right wing extremists who will be deported. Only a matter of time before they become men without countries.

Kind of harsh, huh???

You paranoid kooks do give great . . . entertainment, though.

My students don't want you deported -- they want you to keep them laughing with your silliness.

You are the reason for the push on school vouchers...and the failing public school system.

Me, personally? Somehow I doubt that.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by San Pedro - 2007/03/26 08:19

Maybe if some of you understood how hard it is to live in this big country not legally you would feel not so mean towards us. I come here ten years ago with only my shirt on my back. It was not easy to cross river and desert and I nearly die without water. Why I come here? It is difficult to live in poor and corrupt Mexico. It is hard to see so much good just across river while living in home with dirt floors. So I come. I work hard doing all kind of job for very little but for more than I have in Mexico. I have enough to live and to send some to my mother in Mexico so she can eat well. This not easy because I live day to day with fear of immigration officers finding me and send me back. I just want better life and I want my little ones to be US citizens. What is so wrong? Can I not have happiness?

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by american_patriot - 2007/03/26 10:01

San Pedro wrote:

Maybe if some of you understood how hard it is to live in this big country not legally you would feel not so mean towards us. I come here ten years ago with only my shirt on my back. It was not easy to cross river and desert and I nearly die without water. Why I come here? It is difficult to live in poor and corrupt Mexico. It is hard to see so much good just across river while living in home with dirt floors. So I come. I work hard doing all kind of job for very little but for more than I have in Mexico. I have enough to live and to send some to my mother in Mexico so she can eat well. This not easy because I live day to day with fear of immigration officers finding me and send me back. I just want better life and I want my little ones to be US citizens. What is so wrong? Can I not have happiness?

If you want happiness, you need to find it in your own country. I know things are bad down in Mexico, but you seem to want to take the easy (lazy) way out. you come here illegally and expect us to grant you jobs and everything else. Instead you should work to make changes in Mexico. If you don't like the way things are, fight against it. There are enough of you to make changes if you truly wanted to. When this country was founded, we were 13 colonies of England. It got to the point where we did not like the way we were being run, from a country thousands of miles away across the ocean. What did we do? We stood up and fought. We were outnumbered peoplewise and England had better weapons than we. We were a bunch of farmers and such, most with little to no military experience, yet we prevailed to the surprise of everyone. England had underestimated us and they lost us because of it. We were fighting for our lives and for liberty. England was fighting to keep control of us. Yes we may have had help from the French near the end, but we were on our own for much of the time. If a bunch of farmers and other regular people can stand up to a great empire (England) with only a very small group of people, surely the people in Mexico could rise up against their masters and take control of their country, and effect a change for the better. Instead of overrunning our country.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by San Pedro - 2007/03/26 10:48

You see. This is where you not understand. I work hard. I not take the lazy way. If you think it is easy to change Mexico then you are wrong. The federales make it hard to try and change. I ask many times to come to United States but always the answer is no. My only choice is to walk across desert to come to US. Perhaps if US had not stolen much of our country from us then we would have good life to.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by american_patriot - 2007/03/26 10:52

San Pedro wrote:

You see. This is where you not understand. I work hard. I not take the lazy way. If you think it is easy to change Mexico then you are wrong. The federales make it hard to try and change. I ask many times to come to United States but always the answer is no. My only choice is to walk across desert to come to US. Perhaps if US had not stolen much of our country from us then we would have good life to.

I didn't say it would be easy to change Mexico. I said that it what the people of Mexico need to do, instead of coming here. I know it will not be easy, but that is what should be done.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/26 11:11

american_patriot wrote:

San Pedro wrote:

You see. This is where you not understand. I work hard. I not take the lazy way. If you think it is easy to change Mexico then you are wrong. The federales make it hard to try and change. I ask many times to come to United States but always the answer is no. My only choice is to walk across desert to come to US. Perhaps if US had not stolen much of our country from us then we would have good life to.

I didn't say it would be easy to change Mexico. I said that it what the people of Mexico need to do, instead of coming here. I know it will not be easy, but that is what should be done.

I think "San Pedro" is yanking your chain!

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by WatchDog - 2007/03/26 13:18

San Pedro wrote:

You see. This is where you not understand. I work hard. I not take the lazy way. If you think it is easy to change Mexico then you are wrong. The federales make it hard to try and change. I ask many times to come to United States but always the answer is no. My only choice is to walk across desert to come to US. Perhaps if US had not stolen much of our country from us then we would have good life to.

You sir, are a liar and a coward.

- 1) You have taken the lazy way.
- 2) No one has suggested that ridding YOUR country of the corruption would be easy, and YOU and millions of your countrymen have chosen to be cowards and run from the task. Do you really expect us to believe that the millions of your people could not run your corrupt political leaders out of business?
- 3) We DO have laws, and you have violated them. YOU are a criminal. Don't plead for sympathy, just get the hell out of OUR country.
- 4) That WAS NOT your only choice. You could have stood up and made the effort to fix YOUR country, instead, you choose to invade ours!
- 5) The most egregious of your deceptions: In 1846-1853 we fought a war. YOU LOST! Get over it! We didn't steal anything from Mexico, at that time Mexico entered into an agreement. Now, you want to renege on that agreement. I don't think so!

What a pile of crap you peddle.

Sheesh!

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by San Pedro - 2007/03/27 10:13

You can call me names all you want but someday we will kick you out. We are growing. We are strong. You are week. This will be Mexico again and you will be gone. You are just a cabron!

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by american_patriot - 2007/03/27 10:15

San Pedro wrote:

You can call me names all you want but someday we will kick you out. We are growing. We are strong. You are week. This will be Mexico again and you will be gone. You are just a cabron!

First of all, this is our land, not yours. And second, you can't even spell weak right.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/27 10:34

San Pedro wrote:

You can call me names all you want but someday we will kick you out. We are growing. We are strong. You are week. This will be Mexico again and you will be gone. You are just a cabron!

Amazing how your English is improving with every posting, San! You're already talking like a Yankee socialist ACLU liberal.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Betz - 2007/03/27 11:28

San Pedro wrote:

You can call me names all you want but someday we will kick you out. We are growing. We are strong. You are week. This will be Mexico again and you will be gone. You are just a cabron!

Like I said in the other thread . . . you can have California, but we get Sonora, Baja, Chihuahua, and Sinaloa in return. :-*

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by unaffiliated_person - 2007/03/27 16:03

Betz wrote:

San Pedro wrote:

You can call me names all you want but someday we will kick you out. We are growing. We are strong. You are week. This will be Mexico again and you will be gone. You are just a cabron!

Like I said in the other thread . . . you can have California, but we get Sonora, Baja, Chihuahua, and Sinaloa in return. :-*

I would love to have Chihuahua. Lots of great land out there. I'm in for that deal.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Russ - 2007/03/27 17:08

San Pedro wrote:

You can call me names all you want but someday we will kick you out. We are growing. We are strong. You are week. This will be Mexico again and you will be gone. You are just a cabron!

Tell you what, no one has called you names (yet), but if you would post your name and address, I will arrange for someone to come see you, so that you can demonstrate just how strong you are - or think you are.

In fact, if you would like to email me instead that would be fine as well. You certainly don't have anything to fear from me, after all, I am just a 70 year old weekling!

Russ

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by american_patriot - 2007/03/28 09:34

There is one less criminal alien to worry about today. While loss of life is tragic, I give kudos to the border patrol agent for not being afraid to defend himself his fellow agents and this country from the invaders from the south. The article says the criminal was unarmed, but he was ready to throw a softball sized rock at the agents. It may not be a gun, but it can still be considered a deadly weapon. And it is an act of war, by those Mexican citizens to attack an official government agent, on US soil no less. What does the government in Washington do to stop this invasion? Nothing. what will they do with this Border Patrol Agent? Probably have him arrested, find one of those criminal aliens to testify against him (and give that individual immunity or amnesty) put him on trail, maliciously prosecute him, and put him in prison. that is what they do with these agents that are doing the job they were paid to do, when they actually do it right.

<http://www.bakersfield.com/119/story/107591.html>

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Betz - 2007/03/28 10:11

San Pedro wrote:

You are just a cabron!

BTW, you may want to keep the foul-name calling to a minimum. There are more people here that understand your gutter Spanish than you would imagine.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by dizneyfreak - 2007/03/28 16:11

Russ wrote:

San Pedro wrote:

You can call me names all you want but someday we will kick you out. We are growing. We are strong. You are week. This will be Mexico again and you will be gone. You are just a cabron!

Tell you what, no one has called you names (yet), but if you would post your name and address, I will arrange for someone to come see you, so that you can demonstrate just how strong you are - or think you are.

In fact, if you would like to email me instead that would be fine as well. You certainly don't have anything to fear from me, after all, I am just a 70 year old weekling!

Russ

Great idea Russ! We must deport and/or jail all the Illegal Alien Criminals. The kids must go too. The parents should not benefit from their illegal acts!

BTW, "San Pedro" WE DON'T NEED YOU! May 1, 2006 prove this with the "day without an immigrant" protest. It was suppose to cripple our economy and it backfired on them. Any day I can drive 75mph, during rush hour, on the 5 north is a good day. Teachers could teach better. Businesses functioned without a problem. I found out which car wash I won't go to because it was closed that day.

They are having another protest this May 1st. Either they didn't learn or they think the results will be different (sign of insanity to do the same thing over and over and expect a different result).

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Orator - 2007/03/29 16:52

american_patriot wrote:

There is one less criminal alien to worry about today. While loss of life is tragic, I give kudos to the border patrol agent for not being afraid to defend himself his fellow agents and this country from the invaders from the south. The article says the criminal was unarmed, but he was ready to throw a softball sized rock at the agents. It may not be a gun, but it can still be considered a deadly weapon. And it is an act of war, by those Mexican citizens to attack an official government agent, on US soil no less. What does the government in Washington do to stop this invasion? Nothing. what will they do with this Border Patrol Agent? Probably have him arrested, find one of those criminal aliens to testify against him (and give that individual immunity or amnesty) put him on trail, maliciously prosecute him, and put him in prison. that is what they do with these agents that are doing the job they were paid to do, when they actually do it right.

<http://www.bakersfield.com/119/story/107591.html>

We should simply warn the hordes that if they cross the border they will be shot. Then shoot them when they do cross the border. I think the message will be heard and they will stop the invasion. Now the bleeding hearts will say that is barbaric and that I am a troll.

Let me see, save the lives of 16 americans a day from illegal drivers, save 14 americans a day from being murdered by illegals, save hundreds of women being raped and children being abused or have a few illegals being shot at the border? Easy one for me to answer.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Orator - 2007/03/29 16:57

San Pedro wrote:

You can call me names all you want but someday we will kick you out. We are growing. We are strong. You are week. This will be Mexico again and you will be gone. You are just a cabron!

Hmmm you cannot fix your own regime and you are going to annex US territory from the best trained and equipped military in the world? Not only are you a criminal but a stupid one to boot.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Orator - 2007/03/29 17:00

Family wrote:

Great letter with concise arguments. This is an ammendment that needs changing.

What is actually needed is educating the masses who are so ignorant of the Constitution, the Bill of Rights and the Ammendments. We must first start with the morons in office.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Orator - 2007/03/29 17:05

Wren wrote:

lance_sjogren wrote:

"And the main point is this -- the overwhelming majority of citizens and the legislatures have absolutely no interest in changing it."

The Rasumssen poll that came out I believe in late 2005 showed a plurality of Americans supporting abolition of birthright citizenship.

You have any facts to the contrary?

Lance, you are such a sucker for the whack jobs. Your poll was flawed mucho as is your logic. The polls continually state that a solid majority of citizens support birthright citizenship and no changing of the 14th Amendment. Your major candidates on the issue not just lost, they got their butts handed to them, as Butler is doing to you guys.

Keep it up, though. I use your stuff in class, and it keeps the students laughing at your (lack of) logic and typical (Know Nothing) nativism.

Wren, do you kids actually get taught anything but your left wing liberal trash? You use this argument on many issues posted here. Now I know why my kids do not learn anything at school about math, science, history and world affairs.

By the way all polls show that we must stop illegal immigration and that the american people are fed up with it. That is a FACT.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Orator - 2007/03/29 17:08

M Butler wrote:

Russ wrote:

lance_sjogren wrote:

(by the way, when I ask Wren or Butler "do you have any facts", everyone understands that is a rhetorical question, right?)

:D

But Lance,

Surely, you understand that Butler and Wren believe that they somehow have the right to toss out "facts", while the rest of us, should be be brash enough to assume that we speak for the majority, will definitely will be called to account and called names for such behavior.

The illegal alien invasion that we face is in part, backed up by pure intimidation, and if they can get away with it, they we attempt to overwhelm us with "their" truth - in spite of the facts.

My question is why these protesters, who we pretty much understand to be illegal aliens (otherwise, why would they bother?), have ANY right to be protesting what WE do within OUR government? If it were up to me, I would be having ICE and enough paddy wagons around to deal with these protests.

Thankfully, it's not up to you.

Quite the contrary it is a great idea. Even better, we should as citizens take responsibility of making a citizens arrest.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Orator - 2007/03/29 17:14

smooky wrote:

M Butler wrote:

Russ wrote:

lance_sjogren wrote:

(by the way, when I ask Wren or Butler "do you have any facts", everyone understands that is a rhetorical question, right?)

:D

But Lance,

Surely, you understand that Butler and Wren believe that they somehow have the right to toss out "facts", while the rest of us, should be be brash enough to assume that we speak for the majority, will definitely will be called to account and called names for such behavior.

The illegal alien invasion that we face is in part, backed up by pure intimidation, and if they can get away with it, they we attempt to overwhelm us with "their" truth - in spite of the facts.

My question is why these protesters, who we pretty much understand to be illegal aliens (otherwise, why would they bother?), have ANY right to be protesting what WE do within OUR government? If it were up to me, I would be having

ICE and enough paddy wagons around to deal with these protests.

Thankfully, it's not up to you.

And even MORE thankfully, it's not up to YOU! The kind of pro-illegal alien slurry your splatting all over the boards these days is pure anarchy. You don't have enough evidence to support your arguments so you attack the credibility of the others.

Let's face it. You might have won with a few hundred thousand illegals sneaking in, but it's just too many now to say it's without huge overhead, and simply stupid to blindly allow an amnesty.

Either way, it's going to cost a lot and require a lot of time. And either way, it's going to be "impossible" to facilitate. In the absence of the "easy" way, therefore, we must not ignore the "right" way.

I'd rather accidentally show a "good" worker to the door then accidentally allow a rapist and child molester (or terrorist) to enter my neighborhood.

The United States has long forgotten about its own, millions roam the streets searching for food and shelter, yet we let more and more in to roam with them.

Sorry, but charities and homeless shelters are getting burned out, as am I.

You think there's a lack of empathy in America now, wait until legal American citizens are even further demoralized by the lack of integrity displayed by our government in the amnesty issue by giving millions "free" citizenship with only "paper" requirements that won't be met, and they know it.

I'll pay a dollar or two more for my Tyson chicken if I have to, but the only thing that's going to stop the illegal immigration is one of two things:

1. America continues down its path of corruption until it's worse than the other countries from which citizens immigrate.
2. We do something to stop the flow - OVERFLOW, that is. Some is okay, but it's TOO MANY!!

There have been too many who abuse America's generous offer of the "American Dream" for only the cost of admission, which might only be a swim across the Rio Grande, to ignore. There's a reason why business who offer a great bargain say things like, "first 100 customers only", because there ain't enough "America" to go around.

Do not be fooled by the stance made by bleeding heart liberals that the price of produce will go up dramatically. The labor costs (wages) are so low as a percentage of total cost that product prices are impacted by around 1.2 %. That is a general assessment and varies from product to product.

The higher cost to us is through closing of hospitals, prison space, the criminal justice system, welfare etc, etc, etc.

Then again I would be willing to pay more to maintain order and a more civil society.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Orator - 2007/03/29 17:15

Wren wrote:

You can look up the polls, and you will find they generally state what I summed up. And, OF COURSE, I may state this, silly boy.

If you folks believe eviscerating the 14th Amendment will lead to your desired results, you are ignoring the fact that you will get the majoritarian police state of which you are frightened.

The 14th Amendment protects all citizens, you, me, Butler, and birthright citizens.

But, OF COURSE, you have no hope of amending it, because the Constitution decides how to amend it, not you, not Congress, not me.

Ah, so now you have a different argument? Fool

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Orator - 2007/03/29 17:23

Orator wrote:

Family wrote:

Great letter with concise arguments. This is an ammendment that needs changing.

What is actually needed is educating the masses who are so ignorant of the Constitution, the Bill of Rights and the Ammendments. We must first start with the morons in office.

Sorry Wren, I forgot to include you in that list.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/30 14:07

Orator wrote:

Orator wrote:

Family wrote:

Great letter with concise arguments. This is an ammendment that needs changing.

What is actually needed is educating the masses who are so ignorant of the Constitution, the Bill of Rights and the Ammendments. We must first start with the morons in office.

Sorry Wren, I forgot to include you in that list.

The students are howling with laughter at your recent comments. They wanted to know if most Utahns are like you. I told them the truth, that you are a rabid, insignificant minority who like to rave mindlessly about that which they don't understand. One student then commented, "Oh, you mean they are for cultural theatre, like our KKK here. They are the clowns that define the limitations of good society." I said, "Exactly."

So, O-man, go put on your face and nose, and we will meet again soon for your next lesson.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by iceman - 2007/03/30 14:27

San Pedro wrote:

You can call me names all you want but someday we will kick you out. We are growing. We are strong. You are week. This will be Mexico again and you will be gone. You are just a cabron!

You better be superman...pendejo.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/03/31 10:13

Iceman wrote:

San Pedro wrote:

You can call me names all you want but someday we will kick you out. We are growing. We are strong. You are week. This will be Mexico again and you will be gone. You are just a cabron!

You better be superman...pendejo.

Iceman, time for you to entertain: go put on your nose and wig.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/03/31 16:15

Wren wrote:

Orator wrote:

Orator wrote:

Family wrote:

Great letter with concise arguments. This is an ammendment that needs changing.

What is actually needed is educating the masses who are so ignorant of the Constitution, the Bill of Rights and the Ammendments. We must first start with the morons in office.

Sorry Wren, I forgot to include you in that list.

The students are howling with laughter at your recent comments. They wanted to know if most Utahns are like you. I told them the truth, that you are a rabid, insignificant minority who like to rave mindlessly about that which they don't understand. One student then commented, "Oh, you mean they are for cultural theatre, like our KKK here. They are the clowns that define the limitations of good society." I said, "Exactly."

So, O-man, go put on your face and nose, and we will meet again soon for your next lesson.

Teaching the "students" Orwell style, are we?

"Nearly all children nowadays were horrible. What was worst of all was that by means of such organizations as the Spies they were systematically turned into ungovernable little savages, and yet this produced in them no tendency whatever to rebel against the discipline of the Party. On the contrary, they adored the Party and everything connected with it. All their ferocity was turned outwards, against the enemies of the State, against foreigners, traitors, saboteurs, thought-criminals. It was almost normal for people over thirty to be frightened of their own children."

-George Orwell's 1984, p. 24

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/04/01 14:48

The Keeper wrote:

Wren wrote:

Orator wrote:

Orator wrote:

Family wrote:

Great letter with concise arguments. This is an ammendment that needs changing.

What is actually needed is educating the masses who are so ignorant of the Constitution, the Bill of Rights and the Ammendments. We must first start with the morons in office.

Sorry Wren, I forgot to include you in that list.

The students are howling with laughter at your recent comments. They wanted to know if most Utahns are like you. I told them the truth, that you are a rabid, insignificant minority who like to rave mindlessly about that which they don't understand. One student then commented, "Oh, you mean they are for cultural theatre, like our KKK here. They are the clowns that define the limitations of good society." I said, "Exactly."

So, O-man, go put on your face and nose, and we will meet again soon for your next lesson.

Teaching the "students" Orwell style, are we?

"Nearly all children nowadays were horrible. What was worst of all was that by means of such organizations as the Spies they were systematically turned into ungovernable little savages, and yet this produced in them no tendency whatever to rebel against the discipline of the Party. On the contrary, they adored the Party and everything connected with it. All their ferocity was turned outwards, against the enemies of the State, against foreigners, traitors, saboteurs, thought-criminals. It was almost normal for people over thirty to be frightened of their own children."

-George Orwell's 1984, p. 24

Oh, Keep, you don't even know what Orwell was about. He was talking about your kind, you who preach eagainst the "enemies of the State, against foreigners, traitors, saboteurs, thought-criminals".

Nativism is pathetic, and to listen to your crowd it becomes even more pathetic.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by The Keeper - 2007/04/01 15:14

Wren wrote:

The Keeper wrote:

Wren wrote:

Orator wrote:

Orator wrote:

Family wrote:

Great letter with concise arguments. This is an ammendment that needs changing.

What is actually needed is educating the masses who are so ignorant of the Constitution, the Bill of Rights and the Ammendments. We must first start with the morons in office.

Sorry Wren, I forgot to include you in that list.

The students are howling with laughter at your recent comments. They wanted to know if most Utahns are like you. I told them the truth, that you are a rabid, insignificant minority who like to rave mindlessly about that which they don't understand. One student then commented, "Oh, you mean they are for cultural theatre, like our KKK here. They are the clowns that define the limitations of good society." I said, "Exactly."

So, O-man, go put on your face and nose, and we will meet again soon for your next lesson.

Teaching the "students" Orwell style, are we?

"Nearly all children nowadays were horrible. What was worst of all was that by means of such organizations as the Spies they were systematically turned into ungovernable little savages, and yet this produced in them no tendency whatever to rebel against the discipline of the Party. On the contrary, they adored the Party and everything connected with it. All their ferocity was turned outwards, against the enemies of the State, against foreigners, traitors, saboteurs, thought-criminals. It was almost normal for people over thirty to be frightened of their own children."

-George Orwell's 1984, p. 24

Oh, Keep, you don't even know what Orwell was about. He was talking about your kind, you who preach eagainst the "enemies of the State, against foreigners, traitors, saboteurs, thought-criminals".

Nativism is pathetic, and to listen to your crowd it becomes even more pathetic.

And flooding this nation with millions of foreigners who have no loyalty to it will result in a workers utopia? Tell us another good one!

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Wren - 2007/04/02 07:58

The Keeper wrote:

Wren wrote:

The Keeper wrote:

Wren wrote:

Orator wrote:

Orator wrote:

Family wrote:

Great letter with concise arguments. This is an ammendment that needs changing.

What is actually needed is educating the masses who are so ignorant of the Constitution, the Bill of Rights and the Ammendments. We must first start with the morons in office.

Sorry Wren, I forgot to include you in that list.

The students are howling with laughter at your recent comments. They wanted to know if most Utahns are like you. I told them the truth, that you are a rabid, insignificant minority who like to rave mindlessly about that which they don't understand. One student then commented, "Oh, you mean they are for cultural theatre, like our KKK here. They are the clowns that define the limitations of good society." I said, "Exactly."

So, O-man, go put on your face and nose, and we will meet again soon for your next lesson.

Teaching the "students" Orwell style, are we?

"Nearly all children nowadays were horrible. What was worst of all was that by means of such organizations as the Spies they were systematically turned into ungovernable little savages, and yet this produced in them no tendency whatever to rebel against the discipline of the Party. On the contrary, they adored the Party and everything connected with it. All their ferocity was turned outwards, against the enemies of the State, against foreigners, traitors, saboteurs, thought-criminals. It was almost normal for people over thirty to be frightened of their own children."

-George Orwell's 1984, p. 24

Oh, Keep, you don't even know what Orwell was about. He was talking about your kind, you who preach eagainst the "enemies of the State, against foreigners, traitors, saboteurs, thought-criminals".

Nativism is pathetic, and to listen to your crowd it becomes even more pathetic.

And flooding this nation with millions of foreigners who have no loyalty to it will result in a workers utopia? Tell us another good one!

Who said anything about a "worker's utopia"?

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by Orator - 2007/04/03 22:59

The Keeper wrote:

Wren wrote:

The Keeper wrote:

Wren wrote:

Orator wrote:

Orator wrote:

Family wrote:

Great letter with concise arguments. This is an ammendment that needs changing.

What is actually needed is educating the masses who are so ignorant of the Constitution, the Bill of Rights and the Ammendments. We must first start with the morons in office.

Sorry Wren, I forgot to include you in that list.

The students are howling with laughter at your recent comments. They wanted to know if most Utahns are like you. I told them the truth, that you are a rabid, insignificant minority who like to rave mindlessly about that which they don't understand. One student then commented, "Oh, you mean they are for cultural theatre, like our KKK here. They are the clowns that define the limitations of good society." I said, "Exactly."

So, O-man, go put on your face and nose, and we will meet again soon for your next lesson.

Teaching the "students" Orwell style, are we?

"Nearly all children nowadays were horrible. What was worst of all was that by means of such organizations as the Spies they were systematically turned into ungovernable little savages, and yet this produced in them no tendency whatever to rebel against the discipline of the Party. On the contrary, they adored the Party and everything connected with it. All their ferocity was turned outwards, against the enemies of the State, against foreigners, traitors, saboteurs, thought-criminals. It was almost normal for people over thirty to be frightened of their own children."

-George Orwell's 1984, p. 24

Oh, Keep, you don't even know what Orwell was about. He was talking about your kind, you who preach eagainst the "enemies of the State, against foreigners, traitors, saboteurs, thought-criminals".

Nativism is pathetic, and to listen to your crowd it becomes even more pathetic.

And flooding this nation with millions of foreigners who have no loyalty to it will result in a workers utopia? Tell us another good one!

It would be more productive to remove asses like Wren from our schools than to remove illegals. This man is a grave danger to our nation and to future generations with his mindless idiocy.

It is true dumbing down the children of america simply requires a fool in the front of the classroom.

=====

Re: Illegal immigrant children have loophole for citizenship

Posted by american_patriot - 2007/04/04 08:52

Here is an article about another payoff in a "damage" lawsuit, where some illegals claimed they were injured after being shot at, while running (in a vehicle) away from a Sherrif's Deputy. Who by the way was charged and is in prison right now, for "violating the civil rights of the criminal aliens" as they were fleeing from the law. And it just keeps going on and on, as the government takes the side of the criminal aliens over Law enforcement officers trying to do the job the government hired them to do.

http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=55025

=====