
Who will shield

the censors?

Posted by dailyherald - 2007/12/09 18:10

In the debate on editing and or the censoring of films for purpose of resale I have two questions that never seem to be asked. First, we have to accept the films in question contain an unrighteous content. Who among us is righteous enough to view the films, including the unrighteous parts, and walk away unscathed by the viewing? For example, if a nude scene were shown, this scene would have to be viewed several times in order for the precise removal

Read more...

=====

Re: Who will shieldthe censors?

Posted by Russ - 2007/12/10 08:47

The best way to stop these films is not to buy them in the first place, to edit or not. Just don't buy them. EVEN if we edit them, we still purchase them from the maker of the film, who gets to satisfy their greed via what we consider perversion. They profit.

Stop their profit, and they will quit making them.

=====

Re: Who will shieldthe censors?

Posted by Wilbur McSnilber - 2007/12/10 13:16

Russ wrote:

The best way to stop these films is not to buy them in the first place, to edit or not. Just don't buy them. EVEN if we edit them, we still purchase them from the maker of the film, who gets to satisfy their greed via what we consider perversion. They profit.

Stop their profit, and they will quit making them.

Absolutely! But watch the Golden Compass first - It's pretty darn good, then boycott the shows.

=====

Re: Who will shieldthe censors?

Posted by Jason_from_PG - 2007/12/10 13:19

I believe that censorship grows out of fear, and because fear is contagious, many people are easily swayed. Censoring movies satisfies their need to feel in control how other people live. This fear is often disguised as moral outrage. They want to believe that if people don't see it, they won't know about it. And if they don't know about it, it won't happen.

Today, it's not only language and sexuality (the usual reasons given for censoring books and movies) that will land a movie on the censors' hit list. It's Satanism, Sexual-ism and a hundred other isms, some of which would make you laugh if the implications weren't so serious. Movies that depict sex often come under suspicion; so do movies that encourage people to think, or question ones self; movies that don't hit the observer over the head with moral lessons are considered dangerous.

Censors don't want people exposed to ideas different from their own. If every individual with an agenda had his/her way, the shelves in our local store would be close to empty.

But it's not just the movies and stories under fire now that worry me. It is the movies and stories that will never be written or made. The movies and stories that will never be seen and all due to the fear of censorship.

=====

Re: Who will shield

Posted by gopherus - 2007/12/10 17:10

I will volunteer to shield the censors from movies with nudity in them. Who is going to shield me from Steven Segal movies, Ben Affec movies, and all of the bad animated movies that are trying to compete with Pixar?

=====

Re: Who will shieldthe censors?

Posted by Hutterite - 2007/12/10 17:48

This is part of the dumbing of America, this censorship. We want the thinking done for us. Hmm...I wonder who's expressed that sentiment before?
We're willing to give up the opportunity to be challenged, engaged, or anything else so we can have something so banal on the screen as to be absolutely unoffended.
My advice is this: Stay out of the theatre. Avoid these mormon movie cuts, turn off the tv. Give up on the idea that you can edit society to fit your view, it don't work. You have to hide. So run along, go hide. You can come out when you're willing to make the choices expected of functional members of society.

=====

Re: Who will shield

Posted by cache - 2007/12/10 20:19

gopherus wrote:

I will volunteer to shield the censors from movies with nudity in them. Who is going to shield me from Steven Segal movies, Ben Affec movies, and all of the bad animated movies that are trying to compete with Pixar?

LOL

=====

Re: Who will shieldthe censors?

Posted by musilhead - 2007/12/10 21:39

We arent talking about forced sensorship here people. The letter wasnt demanding that movies be censored so the public was not able to view it. It was talking about movies censored for people who would like to see the movie but not expose THEMSELVES to certain content. If you choose for yourself not to view nudity it doesnt mean you are trying to keep people from having free thought. It means you have set a moral standard for yourself.

=====

Re: Who will shieldthe censors?

Posted by Jason_from_PG - 2007/12/11 13:30

musilhead wrote:

It was talking about movies censored for people who would like to see the movie but not expose THEMSELVES to certain content.

I would like to see the movie(s); but just the nude scenes please. You can cut out all the rest.

=====

Re: Who will shield the censors?

Posted by musilhead - 2007/12/11 14:56

Fine with me but people are going to say you are pushing your agendas on the rest of us =)

=====

Re: Who will shield the censors?

Posted by cache - 2007/12/11 20:24

musilhead wrote:

Fine with me but people are going to say you are pushing your agendas on the rest of us =)

My only agenda is to avoid Ben Affleck movies. What people watch in their own homes is their own business, whether it be edited or not. I really don't care.

=====

Re: Who will shield the censors?

Posted by Sir John the Apostate - 2007/12/11 20:26

Jason_from_PG wrote:

musilhead wrote:

It was talking about movies censored for people who would like to see the movie but not expose THEMSELVES to certain content.

I would like to see the movie(s); but just the nude scenes please. You can cut out all the rest.

There's an idea that works!!!

<http://emoticons4u.com/crazy/061.gif>

=====

Re: Who will shield the censors?

Posted by BrandonWei - 2007/12/12 08:07

musilhead wrote:

We aren't talking about forced censorship here people. The letter wasn't demanding that movies be censored so the public was not able to view it. It was talking about movies censored for people who would like to see the movie but not expose THEMSELVES to certain content. If you choose for yourself not to view nudity it doesn't mean you are trying to keep people from having free thought. It means you have set a moral standard for yourself.

But what about the right of the filmmaker? It is the right of the filmmaker to create movies, with content within the boundaries of what the law allows, however he/she chooses. We, as ordinary folk, do not have a right to see movies. A movie is created and then we choose to see the movie. I would say that those people who don't want to see breasts in Titanic should stand by their morals and not see the rest of the movie either. No one needs to participate in, or is entitled to participate in, pop culture. If people are going to complain about unrighteous content, then maybe they should be more courageous in their self-righteous cause.

=====

Re: Who will shield the censors?

Posted by gopherus - 2007/12/12 08:10

BrandonWei wrote:

musilhead wrote:

We aren't talking about forced censorship here people. The letter wasn't demanding that movies be censored so the public was not able to view it. It was talking about movies censored for people who would like to see the movie but not expose THEMSELVES to certain content. If you choose for yourself not to view nudity it doesn't mean you are trying to keep people from having free thought. It means you have set a moral standard for yourself.

But what about the right of the filmmaker? It is the right of the filmmaker to create movies, with content within the boundaries of what the law allows, however he/she chooses. We, as ordinary folk, do not have a right to see movies. A movie is created and then we choose to see the movie. I would say that those people who don't want to see breasts in Titanic should stand by their morals and not see the rest of the movie either. No one needs to participate in, or is entitled to participate in, pop culture. If people are going to complain about unrighteous content, then maybe they should be more courageous in their self-righteous cause.

Amen bro, the problem is that those who like to force personal responsibility on others are not very good at practicing it themselves. They are not like the Amish, content to shun outside culture, our local fundamentalists crave popular culture and seek a way to feel good about embracing it.

=====

Re: Who will shield the censors?

Posted by James T. - 2007/12/12 15:59

Jason_from_PG wrote:

musilhead wrote:

It was talking about movies censored for people who would like to see the movie but not expose THEMSELVES to certain content.

I would like to see the movie(s); but just the nude scenes please. You can cut out all the rest.

There could be two side-by-side video stores run by the same company: Clean Flicks, which cuts out all the bad; and Dirty Flicks, which includes only the rest. I don't think "Gigli" would be available at a Clean Flicks-type store. It's just too awful, but could be rented from the other store.

=====

Re: Who will shield the censors?

Posted by musilhead - 2007/12/12 22:08

But what about the right of the filmmaker? It is the right of the filmmaker to create movies, with content within the boundaries of what the law allows, however he/she chooses. We, as ordinary folk, do not have a right to see movies. A movie is created and then we choose to see the movie. I would say that those people who don't want to see breasts in Titanic should stand by their morals and not see the rest of the movie either. No one needs to participate in, or is entitled to participate in, pop culture. If people are going to complain about unrighteous content, then maybe they should be more courageous in their self-righteous cause.

You can't edit a film for sale without consent from the filmmaker. The filmmaker still gets his piece of the pie from edited films. The poor filmmakers are not being oppressed by the censorship monster. I have no problem with your views about people standing by their morals and not seeing them at all but that's not for everybody.

