
Tapping energy resources

Posted by haunyocker - 2008/03/23 11:08

This thread discusses the Content article: Tapping energy resources

Moulton is right. Oil drilling in ANWR and coastal areas is a must. Allowing other countries (Cuba and Mexico) to take oil out of areas in which we are not allowed to drill by environmental insanity is an economic death wish promoted Greeners. But nuclear is only part of the answer. Wind and solar power create colossally ugly structures visually polluting our bucolic countryside to which environmentalists totally blind themselves.

The AP reports that the US Air Force has announced a startling proposal. "The Air Force wants to build at its Malmstrom base in central Montana the first piece of what it hopes will be a nationwide network of facilities that would convert domestic coal into cleaner-burning synthetic fuel."

The idea is to keep our defense forces from being dependent on other countries to supply our military with fuel. This could also kick-start development of the process into private industry, and could put a big crimp in the unreliable OPEC countries control over our oil supplies, since America has huge supplies of coal and oil shale.

One other point. The caribou herds have not only survived the Alaskan pipeline their numbers have increase...illustrating more lies promoted by environmentalists that herds would be devastated. They've made the same arguments regarding drilling ANWR, to stop logging old growth forests for spotted owl habitat, and to stop global warming to end allegedly declining numbers of Polar Bears...all of which are lies environmentalists swallow in great gulps.

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by haunyocker - 2008/03/23 11:14

The AP Air Force announcement link is: http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20080322/ap_on_bi_ge/military_coal_3
haunyocker

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by The Keeper - 2008/03/23 17:37

Think the Air Force is getting nervous over that "bomb Iran" thing Bush and Cheney are pushing? Think gasoline is expensive now, you haven't seen anything if Israel gets them to attack Iran!

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by haunyocker - 2008/03/23 20:56

It's amazing to me that you claim that Bush and Cheney want to bomb Iran and then display an anti-Semitic streak. With that many posts, one would think that you'd make a serious comment about a real problem not just a sarcastic remark.

I do agree that Iran will be bombed if either Obama or Hillary are elected. I remember a year or two ago. They said that if I voted for Barry Goldwater, we'd bomb North Viet Nam. And "they" were right, I voted for Goldwater, and we bombed North View Nam.

So what's your solution to the problem of energy? Save the sarcasm and give us a real answer.

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by The Keeper - 2008/03/24 05:55

haunyocker wrote:

It's amazing to me that you claim that Bush and Cheney want to bomb Iran and then display an anti-Semitic streak. With

that many posts, one would think that youâ€™d make a serious comment about a real problem not just a sarcastic remark.

I do agree that Iran will be bombed if either Obama or Hillary are elected. I remember a year or two ago. They said that if I voted for Barry Goldwater, weâ€™d bomb North Viet Nam. And âœtheyâœ were right, I voted for Goldwater, and we bombed North View Nam.

So whatâ€™s yourâ€™re solution to the problem of energy? Save the sarcasm and give us a real answer.

Goldwater? Hell, pops, if you're that old you know the major problem in this country is entrenched career politicians!

By the way, Arabs are also Semites by blood.

=====

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by ThomasK - 2008/03/24 11:03

The Keeper wrote:

Think the Air Force is getting nervous over that "bomb Iran" thing Bush and Cheney are pushing? Think gasoline is expensive now, you haven't seen anything if Israel gets them to attack Iran!

We've extracted one trillion barrels of oil so far. There are about two trillion barrels of oil left to extract. World usage is increasing at an increasing rate each year. With or without bombing you haven't seen anything yet, as far as the expense of gasoline is concerned.

=====

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by haunyocker - 2008/03/24 17:35

Still canâ€™t get over posting meaningless sarcastic remarks? Yea, I am that old. Old enough to have seen your type of entrenched ignorance from people who draw conclusions without all the facts. Take Thomas K, for example. He claims thereâ€™s only one trillion gallons of oil left which is a fantasy estimate at best.

He has not taken into account Canadas oil sands, Americas coal and shale oil, new finds by Mexico, new estimates on Iraqi reserves, ANWR, several other areas of Alaska, gas hydrates and a host of other energy sources. There is also the possibility presented by some scientists who say oil is not the result of dinosaurs and vegetation, but came from other methods of creation which is intriguing.

Heres a fact for you. The U.S. Department of Energy puts estimated global hydrate resources at 875,000 trillion cubic feet (tcf) of natural gas, more energy content than all the worlds coal, oil and natural gas combined. If we or the Japanese who are also working on taping that reserve, figure out how to extract it, things could change significantly. There are an estimated 10 tcf off the coast of Alaska alone.

Quit worrying about your anti-Semitism and get with the discussion. Please try to get your juvenile mind around reality. Energy is not the problem! There are even billions of tons of coal still beneath European counties. The problem is environmentalism and GW religionists who swallow a ton of false assumptions, or as you illustrate in your own entrenched ignorance, have the desire to have total control over peoples lives using the green religion to define sin as energy use. Marx said if he controlled the tools of industry he controlled the people....energy is just another form of tool fiberals want to use to control people.

Hereâ€™s a suggestion or two. Get your entrenched ignorant politicians to let us drill off the coasts, in Alaska, build new refineries and go after technology to use coal more cleanly. In 50 years we might have solved the hydrogen problem and carbon will all become moot and weâ€™ll be able to quit trying to turn our agricultural industry into an oil company.

=====

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by ThomasK - 2008/03/25 10:23

haunyocker wrote:

Still can't get over posting meaningless sarcastic remarks? Yea, I am that old. Old enough to have seen your type of entrenched ignorance from people who draw conclusions without all the facts. Take Thomas K, for example. He claims there's only one trillion gallons of oil left which is a fantasy estimate at best.

He has not taken into account Canadas oil sands, Americas coal and shale oil, new finds by Mexico, new estimates on Iraqi reserves, ANWR, several other areas of Alaska, gas hydrates and a host of other energy sources. There is also the possibility presented by some scientists who say oil is not the result of dinosaurs and vegetation, but came from other methods of creation which is intriguing.

Heres a fact for you. The U.S. Department of Energy puts estimated global hydrate resources at 875,000 trillion cubic feet (tcf) of natural gas, more energy content than all the worlds coal, oil and natural gas combined. If we or the Japanese who are also working on tapping that reserve, figure out how to extract it, things could change significantly. There are an estimated 10 tcf off the coast of Alaska alone.

Quit worrying about your anti-Semitism and get with the discussion. Please try to get your juvenile mind around reality. Energy is not the problem! There are even billions of tons of coal still beneath European counties. The problem is environmentalism and GW religionists who swallow a ton of false assumptions, or as you illustrate in your own entrenched ignorance, have the desire to have total control over peoples lives using the green religion to define sin as energy use. Marx said if he controlled the tools of industry he controlled the people....energy is just another form of tool fiberals want to use to control people.

Here's a suggestion or two. Get your entrenched ignorant politicians to let us drill off the coasts, in Alaska, build new refineries and go after technology to use coal more cleanly. In 50 years we might have solved the hydrogen problem and carbon will all become moot and we'll be able to quit trying to turn our agricultural industry into an oil company.

This is what happens when you inhale exhaust fumes. Take a deep breath and exhale before you explode. BTW, you misquoted my quote. Makes you wonder what else you misquoted here, no matter.

Go ahead drill off the coast of Alaska. Removing contaminates from coal is a challenge. If you think energy costs are going to decrease because of that, go back to inhaling exhausts. And if you think fossil fuels don't come from fossil fuels you've been listening to Coast-to-Coast way to much.

I'm all for harvesting oil from oil sands. Quite expensive but \$100 a barrel makes it worthwhile. BUT, the production of oil from this will only put a dent in our future needs. Unless of course you have exciting news from Coast-to-Coast-to-Coast otherwise.

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by haunyocker - 2008/03/25 23:04

The point, Thomask, is that there is lots of carbon energy available. And excuse my "one" typo, which is immaterial. If you want to check my other facts I'm happy to provide credits. It is estimated that there are 1-trillion barrels of oil in shale in Utah alone, more than all of the middle east. The blovating over energy that comes from the environmentalists gets ridiculous including yours.

Sorry, don't know what you mean by Coast-to-Coast. If you are suggesting that we drill coast to coast...that's a good change from the oil exploration now. The Florida coast and the California coasts along with the Gulf of Mexico (deep drilling) are good possibilities. Oil drilling technology has improved dramatically.

Regarding fossil fuels. There is a theory that the nature of the creation oil is a renewable source. Some scientists think it may come from biological reactions below the surface of the earth.

And the fact that we can get all the carbon fuels we need until there are other reasonable sources. Reports are indicating that commodities (food) are rising because switching to bio fuels is helping destroy the Brazilian rain forest and pushing farmers to produce fuel instead of food. Get your ten year supply of food ready. A good investment might be long lasting food commodities.

Oil production from coal, oil sands, potentially oil shale, will be a big dent in our energy needs. Obviously, the cost of oil today does make it fiscally possible. The benefit would be energy independence, a worthy goal by itself.

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by unaffiliated_person - 2008/03/26 08:30

haunyocker wrote:

The point, Thomask, is that there is lots of carbon energy available. And excuse my "one" typo, which is immaterial. If you want to check my other facts I'm happy to provide credits. It is estimated that there are 1-trillion barrels of oil in shale in Utah alone, more than all of the middle east. The blovating over energy that comes from the environmentalists gets ridiculous including yours.

Sorry, don't know what you mean by Coast-to-Coast. If you are suggesting that we drill coast to coast...that's a good change from the oil exploration now. The Florida coast and the California coasts along with the Gulf of Mexico (deep drilling) are good possibilities. Oil drilling technology has improved dramatically.

Regarding fossil fuels. There is a theory that the nature of the creation oil is a renewable source. Some scientists think it may come from biological reactions below the surface of the earth.

And the fact that we can get all the carbon fuels we need until there are other reasonable sources. Reports are indicating that commodities (food) are rising because switching to bio fuels is helping destroy the Brazilian rain forest and pushing farmers to produce fuel instead of food. Get your ten year supply of food ready. A good investment might be long lasting food commodities.

Oil production from coal, oil sands, potentially oil shale, will be a big dent in our energy needs. Obviously, the cost of oil today does make it fiscally possible. The benefit would be energy independence, a worthy goal by itself.

You mentioned in a previous post "solving the hydrogen problem". We could move to hydrogen and fuel cell technology now, if people would stop staying on the fossil fuel bandwagon. Pushing more use of fossil fuels will result in scarcity in the long run. The technology exists now for alternative, renewable fuels. What is lacking is the market and capital power to commercialize them. If we drill more, people will continue to use the same cars, drive the same, and think all is well in the long run. Perhaps we could instead use this energy crisis as a catalyst for removing our thirst for oil?

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by Thomask - 2008/03/26 09:28

haunyocker wrote:

The point, Thomask, is that there is lots of carbon energy available. And excuse my "one" typo, which is immaterial. If you want to check my other facts I'm happy to provide credits. It is estimated that there are 1-trillion barrels of oil in shale in Utah alone, more than all of the middle east. The blovating over energy that comes from the environmentalists gets ridiculous including yours.

Sorry, don't know what you mean by Coast-to-Coast. If you are suggesting that we drill coast to coast...that's a good change from the oil exploration now. The Florida coast and the California coasts along with the Gulf of Mexico (deep drilling) are good possibilities. Oil drilling technology has improved dramatically.

Regarding fossil fuels. There is a theory that the nature of the creation oil is a renewable source. Some scientists think it may come from biological reactions below the surface of the earth.

And the fact that we can get all the carbon fuels we need until there are other reasonable sources. Reports are indicating that commodities (food) are rising because switching to bio fuels is helping destroy the Brazilian rain forest and pushing farmers to produce fuel instead of food. Get your ten year supply of food ready. A good investment might be long lasting food commodities.

Oil production from coal, oil sands, potentially oil shale, will be a big dent in our energy needs. Obviously, the cost of oil today does make it fiscally possible. The benefit would be energy independence, a worthy goal by itself.

OK, we're mostly on the same page. I don't have a problem extracting energy as long as we do it with as small of an environmental impact as possible. I believe we are capable of that.

Bio fuels are absolutely ridiculous. For the reasons you mentioned and then some. What's disheartening is that BOTH parties have jumped on this bandwagon. Doesn't leave us much hope.

I've heard of the renewable creation of oil theory. It's a bit out there. My reference to Coast-to-coast is a radio show by Art Bell that is a bit out there and would air something like this.

I'm all for oil shale, oil sands etc. Oil sands are more viable right now. Oil shale not yet.

Right now, I'm still willing to allow the market to reign in our inefficient use of energy. I see the government's role not to stop us from energy exploration and production but to insure we don't destroy the environment doing it.

=====

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by ThomasK - 2008/03/26 09:31

unaffiliated_person wrote:

haunyocker wrote:

The point, ThomasK, is that there is lots of carbon energy available. And excuse my "one" typo, which is immaterial. If you want to check my other facts I'll be happy to provide credits. It is estimated that there are 1-trillion barrels of oil in shale in Utah alone, more than all of the middle east. The bloating over energy that comes from the environmentalists gets ridiculous including yours.

Sorry, don't know what you mean by Coast-to-Coast. If you are suggesting that we drill coast to coast...that's a good change from the oil exploration now. The Florida coast and the California coasts along with the Gulf of Mexico (deep drilling) are good possibilities. Oil drilling technology has improved dramatically.

Regarding fossil fuels. There is a theory that the nature of the creation oil is a renewable source. Some scientists think it may come from biological reactions below the surface of the earth.

And the fact that we can get all the carbon fuels we need until there are other reasonable sources. Reports are indicating that commodities (food) are rising because switching to bio fuels is helping destroy the Brazilian rain forest and pushing farmers to produce fuel instead of food. Get your ten year supply of food ready. A good investment might be long lasting food commodities.

Oil production from coal, oil sands, potentially oil shale, will be a big dent in our energy needs. Obviously, the cost of oil today does make it fiscally possible. The benefit would be energy independence, a worthy goal by itself.

You mentioned in a previous post "solving the hydrogen problem". We could move to hydrogen and fuel cell technology now, if people would stop staying on the fossil fuel bandwagon. Pushing more use of fossil fuels will result in scarcity in the long run. The technology exists now for alternative, renewable fuels. What is lacking is the market and capital power to commercialize them. If we drill more, people will continue to use the same cars, drive the same, and think all is well in the long run. Perhaps we could instead use this energy crisis as a catalyst for removing our thirst for oil?

I agree, that's why I think the market and the profit motive is going to get us there quicker than anything else.

=====

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by Just Reading - 2008/03/26 09:52

Have any of you heard of this, My friend Avear invented this new thing for your car, It makes your car get over 100 miles per gallon of gas with no pollution

take a look:

<http://www.vonicsincorporated.com./index.html>

=====

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by unaffiliated_person - 2008/03/27 08:54

Just Reading wrote:

Have any of you heard of this, My friend Avear invented this new thing for your car, It makes your car get over 100 miles per gallon of gas with no pollution

take a look:

<http://www.vonicsincorporated.com./index.html>

Hod did your karma get to -102. I thought karma actions take an hour per action. Did someone really have 102 hours of forum time to burn with your karma?

=====

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by Betz - 2008/03/27 09:57

unaffiliated_person wrote:

Just Reading wrote:

Have any of you heard of this, My friend Avear invented this new thing for your car, It makes your car get over 100 miles per gallon of gas with no pollution

take a look:

<http://www.vonicsincorporated.com./index.html>

Hod did your karma get to -102. I thought karma actions take an hour per action. Did someone really have 102 hours of forum time to burn with your karma?

With the new forum it is now actually 6 hours per action. Even worse, huh?

=====

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by Just Reading - 2008/03/27 10:42

unaffiliated_person wrote:

Just Reading wrote:

Have any of you heard of this, My friend Avear invented this new thing for your car, It makes your car get over 100 miles per gallon of gas with no pollution

take a look:

<http://www.vonicsincorporated.com./index.html>

Hod did your karma get to -102. I thought karma actions take an hour per action. Did someone really have 102 hours of forum time to burn with your karma?

I guess some folks just don't like me!!

I do speak my mind!!

but that's ok,

I give +Karma points to people

I have only gave Dubss/Dubya a -Karma point..

=====

Re:Tapping energy resources

Posted by haunyoeker - 2008/04/11 22:06

Have you heard of the new report on the Bakken Oil Formation? <http://www.nextenergynews.com/news1/next-energy-news2.13s.html> This is a formation that was originally discovered in 1951 but drilling technology and costs at the time made it economically unfeasible.

Today, new estimates put the recoverable reserves in the field at somewhere between 200 and 500 billion barrels increasing America's oil reserves by 10 times. New horizontal drilling techniques now make recovery possible at an estimated 30 to 40 dollars a barrel.

It could render Iranian and Venezuelan threats irrelevant. In 2007 EOG Resources of Texas drilled a single well that is expected to produce 700,000 barrels.

But even with all this, my problems is that we have carbon energy available. Our whole economic system has been developed around carbon based energy. To convert is going to take years and trillions. Changing everything in the time frame being proposed and the economy-killing cost is not reasonably feasible. I believe it's just as reasonable to apply our technology in eliminating emissions.

We can still continue researching other sources. Solar, Wind turbines are simply UGLY and destroy our visual environment. Biofuel is destroying agriculture. Wheat prices are going through the roof along with more agricultural commodities. Trees in Brazil are being cut to plant biofuels crops. So in effect, Greeners are responsible for the latest destruction of forests to create fuel.

=====