
Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Marylb - 2008/05/05 08:26

This just in: The Vatican has requested Mormon records to baptize the Mormon ancestors of current Catholic parishioners.

This just in: Baptists have requested the records of deceased Catholics and Mormons to baptize them to their congregation for those who are currently Protestant.

This just in: If they wanted to be a CATHOLIC/MORMON/BAPTIST wouldn't they have picked that when they were alive? Sure grab anyone who can't argue back. Whats next, claim all people who have ever breathed while alive?

Give me a break! If someone baptized me to their personal religious choice after I was dead and couldn't fight back I would haunt their egocentric self.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Pittakos - 2008/05/05 09:29

Maryl原因 wrote:

This just in: The Vatican has requested Mormon records to baptize the Mormon ancestors of current Catholic parishioners.

This just in: Baptists have requested the records of deceased Catholics and Mormons to baptize them to their congregation for those who are currently Protestant.

This just in: If they wanted to be a CATHOLIC/MORMON/BAPTIST wouldn't they have picked that when they were alive? Sure grab anyone who can't argue back. Whats next, claim all people who have ever breathed while alive?

Give me a break! If someone baptized me to their personal religious choice after I was dead and couldn't fight back I would haunt their egocentric self.

But you CAN fight back. You, the spirit of the dead person, just has to say "no thanks."

By the way, the Catholics said some prayers and burned some candles to do something for my mother in the afterlife after she died. Was I offended? Is she a Catholic, now? I was honored that my friends would do something for her and I know that it made them feel better. Mom still has her agency to decide what religion she wants to be and as far as I know, she isn't counted as part of the earthly Catholic church. Before becoming unglued about the LDS doctrine of baptism for the dead, it would be wise to learn what it is and what the LDS actually believe about it.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Marylb - 2008/05/05 10:12

Nope. The bottom line is the deceased has no say ergo let them stand as they lived, proudly and correctly in history as in life. IF any living person wishes a ceremony for their loved one at the time they pass that is fine. If it is in conflict with a deceased person's religious choice in life, not fine.

I mean this isn't brain science. It doesn't matter what ANY religion believes, you can't kidnap dead people for your own expediency. No matter the religion.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by JLD - 2008/05/05 10:23

Why does it even matter? If you believe in the LDS religion you want them baptized, and if you don't believe, then it doesn't mean anything, just a pointless ceremony that changes nothing.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Pittakos - 2008/05/05 10:45

Maryl b wrote:

Nope. The bottom line is the deceased has no say ergo let them stand as they lived, proudly and correctly in history as in life. IF any living person wishes a ceremony for their loved one at the time they pass that is fine. If it is in conflict with a deceased person's religious choice in life, not fine.

I mean this isn't brain science. It doesn't matter what ANY religion believes, you can't kidnap dead people for your own expediency. No matter the religion.

You're right, Maryl b. It isn't brain science. Just because I do something in behalf of someone who has died, doesn't mean it is so. "Maryl b, I hereby initiate you into the order of the Holy Pittakos to be forever more a Pittakan. I further declare this sacred ordinance to be irreversible in this life and the life hereafter." This is now in print for all to see and is therefor valid. Is it? Have I just changed your history? Have I made a choice for you that you have no choice in?

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Maryl b - 2008/05/05 10:48

JLD wrote:

Why does it even matter? If you believe in the LDS religion you want them baptized, and if you don't believe, then it doesn't mean anything, just a pointless ceremony that changes nothing.

Because JLD it is an act of expediency. But in this case it is the highest disrespect for the deceased one can do. All living beings select their religion choice in life and to change that is to change who they were. Indeed the very fact that anyone thinks the deceased was unbaptized shows they know what that person wished in life. That is part of what I think is wrong in our society, we think we can make it all turn out our way to the point of even messing with the dead. No respect whatsoever. How many relatives does that deceased person have? Assuming they are from all walks of life the rest should be screaming bloody murder over messing with their heritage. Stand away from any religion and look at it. What if Catholics start rebaptized all these dead people you are kidnapping and they add in actual Mormons, because someone of a distant blood may or may not be related. Wouldn't like it would you?

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Maryl b - 2008/05/05 10:53

Pittakos wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Nope. The bottom line is the deceased has no say ergo let them stand as they lived, proudly and correctly in history as in life. IF any living person wishes a ceremony for their loved one at the time they pass that is fine. If it is in conflict with a deceased person's religious choice in life, not fine.

I mean this isn't brain science. It doesn't matter what ANY religion believes, you can't kidnap dead people for your own expediency. No matter the religion.

You're right, Maryl b. It isn't brain science. Just because I do something in behalf of someone who has died, doesn't mean it is so. "Maryl b, I hereby initiate you into the order of the Holy Pittakos to be forever more a Pittakan. I further declare this sacred ordinance to be irreversible in this life and the life hereafter." This is now in print for all to see and is therefor valid. Is it? Have I just changed your history? Have I made a choice for you that you have no choice in?

You can do that and I will gladly bear that burden but then again I am not dead. When I die it then becomes a great act of disrespect for the deceased and their choices in life. It appears to me that this outrageous act is exactly what is wrong with a society who no longer knows how to respect anything, even a life lived.

If this disrespectful act was meaningless you wouldn't fight for it.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by JLD - 2008/05/05 10:53

Maryl b wrote:

JLD wrote:

Why does it even matter? If you believe in the LDS religion you want them baptized, and if you don't believe, then it doesn't mean anything, just a pointless ceremony that changes nothing.

Because JLD it is an act of expediency. But in this case it is the highest disrespect for the deceased one can do. All living beings select their religion choice in life and to change that is to change who they were. Indeed the very fact that anyone thinks the deceased was unbaptized shows they know what that person wished in life. That is part of what I think is wrong in our society, we think we can make it all turn out our way to the point of even messing with the dead. No respect whatsoever. How many relatives does that deceased person have? Assuming they are from all walks of life the rest should be screaming bloody murder over messing with their heritage. Stand away from any religion and look at it. What if Catholics start rebaptized all these dead people you are kidnapping and they add in actual Mormons, because someone of a distant blood may or may not be related. Wouldn't like it would you?

Actually, I still wouldn't care. Sorry, I'm not saying you are wrong in your opinions, but I fail to see how it makes any difference at all in the big scheme of things. It didn't change anything. Oh, the Mormon's baptized so-and-so after he passed away? That's funny, those silly Mormon's. Just because the LDS think they are baptizing someone by proxy doesn't make it so...how can anyone who is not LDS think those baptizing for the dead are going to change anything? Is everyone going to suddenly find out their LDS in the afterlife as a result - doubt it.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Maryl b - 2008/05/05 10:59

JLD wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

JLD wrote:

Why does it even matter? If you believe in the LDS religion you want them baptized, and if you don't believe, then it doesn't mean anything, just a pointless ceremony that changes nothing.

Because JLD it is an act of expediency. But in this case it is the highest disrespect for the deceased one can do. All living beings select their religion choice in life and to change that is to change who they were. Indeed the very fact that anyone thinks the deceased was unbaptized shows they know what that person wished in life. That is part of what I think is wrong in our society, we think we can make it all turn out our way to the point of even messing with the dead. No respect whatsoever. How many relatives does that deceased person have? Assuming they are from all walks of life the rest should be screaming bloody murder over messing with their heritage. Stand away from any religion and look at it. What if Catholics start rebaptized all these dead people you are kidnapping and they add in actual Mormons, because someone of a distant blood may or may not be related. Wouldn't like it would you?

Actually, I still wouldn't care. Sorry, I'm not saying you are wrong in your opinions, but I fail to see how it makes any difference at all in the big scheme of things. It didn't change anything. Oh, the Mormon's baptized so-and-so after he passed away? That's funny, those silly Mormon's. Just because the LDS think they are baptizing someone by proxy doesn't make it so...how can anyone who is not LDS think those baptizing for the dead are going to change anything? Is everyone going to suddenly find out their LDS in the afterlife as a result - doubt it.

My relatives who have passed in recent times each had their own flavor. Each believed what they believed, none the same. I love who they were and in fact believer or non-believer it represented one part of them that explained the rest. I can't even imagine someone disrespecting my mom's life after she passed by baptising her to a religion she wasn't even aware of. She was born and raised Catholic in San Francisco California and her history is retold not reinvented.

It does mean something.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/05 11:16

Maryl b wrote:

Nope. The bottom line is the deceased has no say ergo let them stand as they lived, proudly and correctly in history as in life. IF any living person wishes a ceremony for their loved one at the time they pass that is fine. If it is in conflict with

a deceased person's religious choice in life, not fine.

I mean this isn't brain science. It doesn't matter what ANY religion believes, you can't kidnap dead people for your own expediency. No matter the religion.

Your not understanding the concept, we are not baptising them into the church and calling them a Mormon, the person has the choice to accept the baptism or not. So really, if they don't want it, they can say so. big whoop.

Also, it is usually the relatives who turn in our names for the work to be done. So it is their right to do this.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/05 11:18

Maryl b wrote:

JLD wrote:

Why does it even matter? If you believe in the LDS religion you want them baptized, and if you don't believe, then it doesn't mean anything, just a pointless ceremony that changes nothing.

Because JLD it is an act of expediency. But in this case it is the highest disrespect for the deceased one can do. All living beings select their religion choice in life and to change that is to change who they were. Indeed the very fact that anyone thinks the deceased was unbaptized shows they know what that person wished in life. That is part of what I think is wrong in our society, we think we can make it all turn out our way to the point of even messing with the dead. No respect whatsoever. How many relatives does that deceased person have? Assuming they are from all walks of life the rest should be screaming bloody murder over messing with their heritage. Stand away from any religion and look at it. What if Catholics start rebaptized all these dead people you are kidnapping and they add in actual Mormons, because someone of a distant blood may or may not be related. Wouldn't like it would you?

Wouldn't care, because I believe they are wrong. So really, what care would I have?

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Maryl b - 2008/05/05 11:51

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Nope. The bottom line is the deceased has no say ergo let them stand as they lived, proudly and correctly in history as in life. IF any living person wishes a ceremony for their loved one at the time they pass that is fine. If it is in conflict with a deceased person's religious choice in life, not fine.

I mean this isn't brain science. It doesn't matter what ANY religion believes, you can't kidnap dead people for your own expediency. No matter the religion.

Your not understanding the concept, we are not baptising them into the church and calling them a Mormon, the person has the choice to accept the baptism or not. So really, if they don't want it, they can say so. big whoop.

Also, it is usually the relatives who turn in our names for the work to be done. So it is their right to do this.

You mean the deceased has to tell you yes or no? Or do you mean that they go down in your books as baptised and you see if they are when you get there? Are they listed as non-Mormon's until you prove their answer is yes? This is so amazingly disrespectful imo.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Maryl b - 2008/05/05 11:55

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

JLD wrote:

Why does it even matter? If you believe in the LDS religion you want them baptized, and if you don't believe, then it doesn't mean anything, just a pointless ceremony that changes nothing.

Because JLD it is an act of expediency. But in this case it is the highest disrespect for the deceased one can do. All living beings select their religion choice in life and to change that is to change who they were. Indeed the very fact that anyone thinks the deceased was unbaptized shows they know what that person wished in life. That is part of what I think is wrong in our society, we think we can make it all turn out our way to the point of even messing with the dead. No respect whatsoever. How many relatives does that deceased person have? Assuming they are from all walks of life the rest should be screaming bloody murder over messing with their heritage. Stand away from any religion and look at it. What if Catholics start rebaptized all these dead people you are kidnapping and they add in actual Mormons, because someone of a distant blood may or may not be related. Wouldn't like it would you?

Wouldn't care, because I believe they are wrong. So really, what care would I have?

If you had a Catholic or an agnostic mom would you care that she is BAPTIZED Mormon or anything she was not? You may answer no and that is fine but isn't the point that many more would say yes they do care? That they don't want their loved ones messed with by some future generation who may or may not even be related but for a drop of diluted blood? Do you care if all the churches want to do this ridiculous act of disrespect?

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/05 11:58

Maryl b wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

JLD wrote:

Why does it even matter? If you believe in the LDS religion you want them baptized, and if you don't believe, then it doesn't mean anything, just a pointless ceremony that changes nothing.

Because JLD it is an act of expediency. But in this case it is the highest disrespect for the deceased one can do. All living beings select their religion choice in life and to change that is to change who they were. Indeed the very fact that anyone thinks the deceased was unbaptized shows they know what that person wished in life. That is part of what I think is wrong in our society, we think we can make it all turn out our way to the point of even messing with the dead. No respect whatsoever. How many relatives does that deceased person have? Assuming they are from all walks of life the rest should be screaming bloody murder over messing with their heritage. Stand away from any religion and look at it. What if Catholics start rebaptized all these dead people you are kidnapping and they add in actual Mormons, because someone of a distant blood may or may not be related. Wouldn't like it would you?

Wouldn't care, because I believe they are wrong. So really, what care would I have?

If you had a Catholic or an agnostic mom would you care that she is BAPTIZED Mormon or anything she was not? You may answer no and that is fine but isn't the point that many more would say yes they do care? That they don't want their loved ones messed with by some future generation who may or may not even be related but for a drop of diluted blood? Do you care if all the churches want to do this ridiculous act of disrespect?

Your still not quite getting it, which I find typical of those who oppose this. We are not baprtizing them Mormon, we are doing a baptism that we believe they have the choice to reject or accept, so in the end, it's that persons choice.

I wouldn't consider it a "ridiculous act of disrespect". And as I said, it is usually family members who submit the names, some family members may be like you and be offended by the act, while those who believe in what there doing see it as a sign of love and doing Gods will. You should respect that.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Wren - 2008/05/05 12:10

If a LDS member submits his ancestors' names, then no act of disrespect has happened. If a list of names is purchased from a religious institution without disclosure of intent, then disrespect is the least of what has happened and is going to

happen.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/05 12:16

Wren wrote:

If a LDS member submits his ancestors' names, then no act of disrespect has happened. If a list of names is purchased from a religious institution without disclosure of intent, then disrespect is the least of what has happened and is going to happen.

Then they have the right to say no, and not give the list, it's not that big a deal, it will all be worked out in the millenium.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Wren - 2008/05/05 12:36

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

If a LDS member submits his ancestors' names, then no act of disrespect has happened. If a list of names is purchased from a religious institution without disclosure of intent, then disrespect is the least of what has happened and is going to happen.

Then they have the right to say no, and not give the list, it's not that big a deal, it will all be worked out in the millenium.

I agree. As long as full disclosure is taking place, then no harm no foul.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Pittakos - 2008/05/05 12:56

Maryl b wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Nope. The bottom line is the deceased has no say ergo let them stand as they lived, proudly and correctly in history as in life. IF any living person wishes a ceremony for their loved one at the time they pass that is fine. If it is in conflict with a deceased person's religious choice in life, not fine.

I mean this isn't brain science. It doesn't matter what ANY religion believes, you can't kidnap dead people for your own expediency. No matter the religion.

Your not understanding the concept, we are not baptising them into the church and calling them a Mormon, the person has the choice to accept the baptism or not. So really, if they don't want it, they can say so. big whoop.

Also, it is usually the relatives who turn in our names for the work to be done. So it is their right to do this.

You mean the deceased has to tell you yes or no? Or do you mean that they go down in your books as baptised and you see if they are when you get there? Are they listed as non-Mormon's until you prove their answer is yes? This is so amazingly disrespectful imo.

Maryl b, you are really making a mountain out of a molehill on this. There is no disrespect in what is being done because nothing is being forced upon anyone. Here is how it works: A baptism is performed in behalf of the deceased. It is recorded simply for administrative purposes so that it isn't done over and over and over again. The deceased is not a Mormon. They are not counted on any rolls as being one or ever having been one. After the baptism is performed, it is strictly between God and the deceased as to whether or not they accept that baptism. Their history hasn't changed. Their identity hasn't changed. Their religion hasn't changed etc. etc. etc. They are no more Mormon than you are Pittakan even though I invoked the irreversible holy pittakos chant upon you. We don't have people on the other side reporting back to us whether or not they accepted or rejected the baptism. Even if they do accept it, no one down here is publishing a book saying that they have swapped religions. They are still who they were as far as anyone here on earth

is concerned.

Now if we want to talk about disrespect, how about the Catholic Church telling me that I am going to hell because I wasn't officially baptized by one of their recognized sources and then they don't even offer me a way out of hell, ever?

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by leftintheuc - 2008/05/05 14:48

Pittakos wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Nope. The bottom line is the deceased has no say ergo let them stand as they lived, proudly and correctly in history as in life. IF any living person wishes a ceremony for their loved one at the time they pass that is fine. If it is in conflict with a deceased person's religious choice in life, not fine.

I mean this isn't brain science. It doesn't matter what ANY religion believes, you can't kidnap dead people for your own expediency. No matter the religion.

You're right, Maryl b. It isn't brain science. Just because I do something in behalf of someone who has died, doesn't mean it is so. "Maryl b, I hereby initiate you into the order of the Holy Pittakos to be forever more a Pittakan. I further declare this sacred ordinance to be irreversible in this life and the life hereafter." This is now in print for all to see and is therefor valid. Is it? Have I just changed your history? Have I made a choice for you that you have no choice in?

A Holy Pittakan? I want in.(I'm not going to have to wear the girdle again, am I?)

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Pittakos - 2008/05/05 15:26

leftintheuc wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Nope. The bottom line is the deceased has no say ergo let them stand as they lived, proudly and correctly in history as in life. IF any living person wishes a ceremony for their loved one at the time they pass that is fine. If it is in conflict with a deceased person's religious choice in life, not fine.

I mean this isn't brain science. It doesn't matter what ANY religion believes, you can't kidnap dead people for your own expediency. No matter the religion.

You're right, Maryl b. It isn't brain science. Just because I do something in behalf of someone who has died, doesn't mean it is so. "Maryl b, I hereby initiate you into the order of the Holy Pittakos to be forever more a Pittakan. I further declare this sacred ordinance to be irreversible in this life and the life hereafter." This is now in print for all to see and is therefor valid. Is it? Have I just changed your history? Have I made a choice for you that you have no choice in?

A Holy Pittakan? I want in.(I'm not going to have to wear the girdle again, am I?)

No. We go naked 24/7

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Maryl b - 2008/05/05 15:42

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/05 15:50

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Pittakos - 2008/05/05 15:58

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Maryl b, I give you permission to have the Catholic Church baptize Joseph Smith. Remember, however, to make it fair by allowing Joseph to either accept or reject the baptism on the other side. Well, on second thought, scratch the second sentence. Just go ahead and have him baptized, if you want. You can even have him put on the roles of the Catholic Church if you want. I'm am pretty sure that 99% of Mormons won't be offended but will just shrug it off or even be grateful that someone felt so strongly about his salvation that they would go through the trouble to baptize him into their church. Permission granted.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by what goes around - 2008/05/05 16:40

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

The thing is Maryl b, doing work for the dead is the most precious gift a mormon can give to another. The choice of accepting the gift is always theirs. There is no disrespect meant, and since it changes nothing if they are not interested, there is no disrespect given. Most of my relatives never had a chance to investigate the church and it is important to me they have the work done incase they want to hear more.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by utocoman - 2008/05/05 16:41

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.
Thus the church of Joeseph's myth has no affect on any others deceased realtives so leave them alone, huh Dubbs?

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by utocoman - 2008/05/05 16:44

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Nope. The bottom line is the deceased has no say ergo let them stand as they lived, proudly and correctly in history as in life. IF any living person wishes a ceremony for their loved one at the time they pass that is fine. If it is in conflict with a deceased person's religious choice in life, not fine.

I mean this isn't brain science. It doesn't matter what ANY religion believes, you can't kidnap dead people for your own expediency. No matter the religion.

Your not understanding the concept, we are not baptising them into the church and calling them a Mormon, the person has the choice to accept the baptism or not. So really, if they don't want it, they can say so. big whoop.

Also, it is usually the relatives who turn in our names for the work to be done. So it is their right to do this.

A relative has a right to do this? Give me a @#!@ing break Dubbs. Do they also have "right to your "estate" ? Pleassssssse pull your head out!!

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Marylb - 2008/05/05 16:46

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

Yes I do. I respect all religions as long as they respect humanity's right to live and die with respect untarnished by the whim of the expedient generations. That alone does not mean that this overtly disrespectful act to place the last signature of their life by strangers is beyond the pale. I don't really care if you don't care, I care and millions more care and they care because they are not Mormon or not Catholic or not whatever life thief there is.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Heir Flick of the Gestapo - 2008/05/05 16:46

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

If a LDS member submits his ancestors' names, then no act of disrespect has happened. If a list of names is purchased from a religious institution without disclosure of intent, then disrespect is the least of what has happened and is going to happen.

Then they have the right to say no, and not give the list, it's not that big a deal, it will all be worked out in the millenium.

Dang, that's 992 years off...

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/05 16:49

utocoman wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

Thus the church of Joeseeph's myth has no affect on any others deceased realtives so leave them alone, huh Dubbs?

you tell me.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/05 16:51

Maryl b wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

Yes I do. I respect all religions as long as they respect humanity's right to live and die with respect untarnished by the whim of the expedient generations. That alone does not mean that this overtly disrespectful act to place the last signature of their life by strangers is beyond the pale. I don't really care if you don't care, I care and millions more care and they care because they are not Mormon or not Catholic or not whatever life thief there is.

And we are having no affect on their life, death, nor any other aspect of their life. Would it surprise you to know most of your ancestors have been baptized? Would it someone change your thoughts of them? :blink:

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/05 16:52

utocoman wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Nope. The bottom line is the deceased has no say ergo let them stand as they lived, proudly and correctly in history as in life. IF any living person wishes a ceremony for their loved one at the time they pass that is fine. If it is in conflict with a deceased person's religious choice in life, not fine.

I mean this isn't brain science. It doesn't matter what ANY religion believes, you can't kidnap dead people for your own expediency. No matter the religion.

Your not understanding the concept, we are not baptising them into the church and calling them a Mormon, the person has the choice to accept the baptism or not. So really, if they don't want it, they can say so. big whoop.

Also, it is usually the relatives who turn in our names for the work to be done. So it is their right to do this.

A relative has a right to do this? Give me a @#!@ing break Dubbs. Do they also have "right to your "estate" ? Pleasssssssse pull your head out!!

If you don't believe in it, why would it bother you so? Does it eat at you knowing it is truth? I think so.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Wren - 2008/05/05 16:54

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

I know you don't respect any others' religious beliefs. You have bashed time and time again on the forum.

So get off it. Now.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/05 17:12

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

I know you don't respect any others' religious beliefs. You have bashed time and time again on the forum.

So get off it. Now.

no more disrespect than you give the LDS faith.

No get off it son.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by KitKat - 2008/05/05 17:21

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

I know you don't respect any others' religious beliefs. You have bashed time and time again on the forum.

So get off it. Now.

no more disrespect than you give the LDS faith.

No get off it son.

No one is more disrespectful than you!

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Wren - 2008/05/05 17:36

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

I know you don't respect any others' religious beliefs. You have bashed time and time again on the forum.

So get off it. Now.

no more disrespect than you give the LDS faith.

No get off it son.

I have always been respectful in telling the truth about it. I think it is a fine church. It simply is not the true church, in my opinion.

You demonstrate daily that you do not follow its teachings.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/05 17:37

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

I know you don't respect any others' religious beliefs. You have bashed time and time again on the forum.

So get off it. Now.

no more disrespect than you give the LDS faith.

No get off it son.

I have always been respectful in telling the truth about it. I think it is a fine church. It simply is not the true church.

And neither is your beliefs, neither is yours.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Wren - 2008/05/05 17:41

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

I know you don't respect any others' religious beliefs. You have bashed time and time again on the forum.

So get off it. Now.

no more disrespect than you give the LDS faith.

No get off it son.

I have always been respectful in telling the truth about it. I think it is a fine church. It simply is not the true church.

And neither is your beliefs, neither is yours.

That makes no sense. Typical of you.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by ericmiami - 2008/05/05 17:41

It's time to again offer the "WWDD?" bumper stickers. The last batch sold out quickly. Order yours while they last. Just send .05 (one nickel) to "What Would Dumbya Do" PO Box 123, Arrogance, Nevada.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/05 17:43

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

I know you don't respect any others' religious beliefs. You have bashed time and time again on the forum.

So get off it. Now.

no more disrespect than you give the LDS faith.

No get off it son.

I have always been respectful in telling the truth about it. I think it is a fine church. It simply is not the true church.

And neither is your beliefs, neither is yours.

That makes no sense. Typical of you.

Ahh, forgot I'm talking to a "professor" (smirk) let me be more clear, Your beliefs are not accurate either son.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Wren - 2008/05/05 17:56

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

I know you don't respect any others' religious beliefs. You have bashed time and time again on the forum.

So get off it. Now.

no more disrespect than you give the LDS faith.

No get off it son.

I have always been respectful in telling the truth about it. I think it is a fine church. It simply is not the true church.

And neither is your beliefs, neither is yours.

That makes no sense. Typical of you.

Ahh, forgot I'm talking to a "professor" (smirk) let me be more clear, Your beliefs are not accurate either son.

My beliefs? Is that what you meant? I believe in the Savior, and I believe that the evidence clearly documents that the LDS church is not the one true church.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by utocoman - 2008/05/05 18:27

Amen!!!!

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Jaye - 2008/05/05 19:48

Pittakos wrote:

leftintheuc wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Nope. The bottom line is the deceased has no say ergo let them stand as they lived, proudly and correctly in history as in life. IF any living person wishes a ceremony for their loved one at the time they pass that is fine. If it is in conflict with a deceased person's religious choice in life, not fine.

I mean this isn't brain science. It doesn't matter what ANY religion believes, you can't kidnap dead people for your own expediency. No matter the religion.

You're right, Marylb. It isn't brain science. Just because I do something in behalf of someone who has died, doesn't mean it is so. "Marylb, I hereby initiate you into the order of the Holy Pittakos to be forever more a Pittakan. I further declare this sacred ordinance to be irreversible in this life and the life hereafter." This is now in print for all to see and is therefor valid. Is it? Have I just changed your history? Have I made a choice for you that you have no choice in?

A Holy Pittakan? I want in.(I'm not going to have to wear the girdle again, am I?)

No. We go naked 24/7

That's right...that's right!!!:woohoo:

And we dance around the fire pit by the light of the full moon...and our Sacrament consists of Spaghetti with meatballs...and garlic bread of course.:woohoo:

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Jaye - 2008/05/05 19:49

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

My dear lady...if you wish to baptize Joseph Smith into the Catholic faith...go right on ahead. I...as a member of the LDS faith in good standing...hereby allow you to have at it.;

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Jaye - 2008/05/05 19:51

Pittakos wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Maryl b, I give you permission to have the Catholic Church baptize Joseph Smith. Remember, however, to make it fair by allowing Joseph to either accept or reject the baptism on the other side. Well, on second thought, scratch the second sentence. Just go ahead and have him baptized, if you want. You can even have him put on the roles of the Catholic Church if you want. I'm am pretty sure that 99% of Mormons won't be offended but will just shrug it off or even be grateful that someone felt so strongly about his salvation that they would go through the trouble to baptize him into their church. Permission granted.

Whoops:blush: you beat me to the punch AGAIN Pittakos. In that case...I second the notion and provide witness to the fact.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Jaye - 2008/05/05 19:53

Maryl b wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There

is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

Yes I do. I respect all religions as long as they respect humanity's right to live and die with respect untarnished by the whim of the expedient generations. That alone does not mean that this overtly disrespectful act to place the last signature of their life by strangers is beyond the pale. I don't really care if you don't care, I care and millions more care and they care because they are not Mormon or not Catholic or not whatever life thief there is.

:huh: ?...'Life thief':huh:

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Jaye - 2008/05/05 19:54

KitKat wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

MarylB wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

I know you don't respect any others' religious beliefs. You have bashed time and time again on the forum.

So get off it. Now.

no more disrespect than you give the LDS faith.

No get off it son.

No one is more disrespectful than you!

A-FLIPPETY-MEN!!!:woohoo:

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Jaye - 2008/05/05 20:04

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

MarylB wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

I know you don't respect any others' religious beliefs. You have bashed time and time again on the forum.

So get off it. Now.

no more disrespect than you give the LDS faith.

No get off it son.

I have always been respectful in telling the truth about it. I think it is a fine church. It simply is not the true church.

And neither is your beliefs, neither is yours.

Wren's beliefs are true for Wren...just as your beliefs are true for you.

Neither trumps the other. Neither applies to the other.

Wren has been respectful to the LDS Church in that he believes that it is a fine faith, which has overcome a doubtful past and matured into an organization which has accomplished much good throughout the world.

He simply does not believe that it is 'THE ONE TRUE CHURCH ON EARTH!!!!!(echo...echo...echo)

You...on the other hand...have constantly, and consistently mocked, derided and degraded his beliefs, my beliefs, and pretty much everyone else's beliefs who are not members of the LDS faith that participate on this forum...not to mention my wife...who does NOT participate on this forum.

You are the epitome of disrespect and discourtesy.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Marylb - 2008/05/05 20:29

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Wren - 2008/05/06 04:50

Jaye wrote:
Dubbs wrote:
Wren wrote:
Dubbs wrote:

Wren wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

The only thing that I find interesting is that there is no Mormon who would allow me to, say, baptize Joseph Smith as a Catholic would you? Absolutely unbelievable to not only do it but stick up for it as if you see no wrong in messing with the deceased and justifying it with some distant minor blood line diluted into inconsequential lineage making it ok. There is nothing right about this whatsoever.

Your problem is you don't respect the religious beliefs of others.

I would find no problem if the Satans church of the devil baptized any of my relatives, for I know they are of no affect on me or my family.

I know you don't respect any others' religious beliefs. You have bashed time and time again on the forum.

So get off it. Now.

no more disrespect than you give the LDS faith.

No get off it son.

I have always been respectful in telling the truth about it. I think it is a fine church. It simply is not the true church.

And neither is your beliefs, neither is yours.

Wren's beliefs are true for Wren...just as your beliefs are true for you.

Neither trumps the other. Neither applies to the other.

Wren has been respectful to the LDS Church in that he believes that it is a fine faith, which has overcome a doubtful past and matured into an organization which has accomplished much good throughout the world.

He simply does not believe that it is 'THE ONE TRUE CHURCH ON EARTH!!!!!(echo...echo...echo)

You...on the other hand...have constantly, and consistently mocked, derided and degraded his beliefs, my beliefs, and pretty much everyone else's beliefs who are not members of the LDS faith that participate on this forum...not to mention my wife...who does NOT participate on this forum.

You are the epitome of disrespect and discourtesy.

Dubbs, by his behavior here, obviously does not follow or believe his church's Articles of Faith.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Wren - 2008/05/06 04:58

Maryl b wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons. Here as some ethical guidelines for both sides of this discussion.

The dead no longer have any say morally or legally how their name is used in terms of LDS baptism for the dead. The living take care of the living, the dead take care of the dead.

That individual or institution who controls the name and information of the dead may in good conscience refuse to release that information to other family members or institutions that wish to baptize for the dead. They are morally and legally within their right to do so.

Those who wish to submit names for baptism for the dead should always respect the wishes of living family members of the dead, or if they don't know those wishes, respect the wishes of the dead's religion.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Pittakos - 2008/05/06 07:46

Maryl b wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Maryl b, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Maryl b - 2008/05/06 07:59

Wren wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

Here are some ethical guidelines for both sides of this discussion.

The dead no longer have any say morally or legally how their name is used in terms of LDS baptism for the dead. The living take care of the living, the dead take care of the dead.

That individual or institution who controls the name and information of the dead may in good conscience refuse to release that information to other family members or institutions that wish to baptize for the dead. They are morally and legally within their right to do so.

Those who wish to submit names for baptism for the dead should always respect the wishes of living family members of the dead, or if they don't know those wishes, respect the wishes of the dead's religion.

THANK YOU! I was getting a different impression all together. At least I know now that some thought goes into respecting the wishes. I still have a hard time with the idea of someone remotely related and generationally removed making those decisions in light of the long forgotten choice the deceased made in life but at least I do understand it a little better. I think maybe this discussion explains why there is so much stress and misunderstanding over this one issue from both sides. I would hope that ANY long lost relative take their heritage seriously enough to respect distant relatives. In the case of my mom, I wouldn't want to be anyone who thought they could change her life. Ya don't mess with old school Catholic moms, dead or alive. Trust me on that! lol

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Marylb - 2008/05/06 08:13

Pittakos wrote:

Marylb wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Marylb, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/06 09:20

Marylb wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

Marylb wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow

your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Marylb, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Wren - 2008/05/06 09:25

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Marylb, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose

their heritage" and "your converting them" Lady, read more, type less.

She gets it fine. She doesn't like it. And she has explained why. Do you understand why she feels that way? Do you not understand her concern?

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Marylb - 2008/05/06 09:30

Dubbs wrote:

Marylb wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

Marylb wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Marylb, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

Since you mentioned it, that is a good idea for you to start practicing. I have read your rude and disrespectful posts that pretend you are God but honestly you do more damage than good toward understanding. Perhaps YOU should read more and type less. It would offer up a golden opportunity for you to see how those Mormons and non-Mormons you attack do more good toward understanding the differences in a positive way than you have ever done while you are busy attacking other Mormons. You are not very bright though you are intent on celebrating every passing thought you have. Lighten up and start thinking for a change.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/06 09:40

Marylb wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:
Pittakos wrote:
Maryl b wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Marylb, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

Since you mentioned it, that is a good idea for you to start practicing. I have read your rude and disrespectful posts that pretend you are God but honestly you do more damage than good toward understanding. Perhaps YOU should read more and type less. It would offer up a golden opportunity for you to see how those Mormons and non-Mormons you attack do more good toward understanding the differences in a positive way than you have ever done while you are busy attacking other Mormons. You are not very bright though you are intent on celebrating every passing thought you have. Lighten up and start thinking for a change.

It is obvious that you still don't get it, even after many have tried to explain it to you. You are the one that is not very bright, it is clear from your lack of comprehension on this topic you have a serious problem. This site is a dumping ground for everything negative about the church, always has been, you are merely one of the many who do so. Get's old, get a new hobby.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Betz - 2008/05/06 09:43

Dubbs wrote:

Get's old, get a new hobby.

Heed your own advice. You're the best anti-Mormon on this board.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Marylb - 2008/05/06 09:56

Dubbs wrote:
Marylb wrote:
Dubbs wrote:
Marylb wrote:
Pittakos wrote:
Marylb wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Marylb, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

Since you mentioned it, that is a good idea for you to start practicing. I have read your rude and disrespectful posts that pretend you are God but honestly you do more damage than good toward understanding. Perhaps YOU should read more and type less. It would offer up a golden opportunity for you to see how those Mormons and non-Mormons you attack do more good toward understanding the differences in a positive way than you have ever done while you are busy attacking other Mormons. You are not very bright though you are intent on celebrating every passing thought you have. Lighten up and start thinking for a change.

It is obvious that you still don't get it, even after many have tried to explain it to you. You are the one that is not very bright, it is clear from your lack of comprehension on this topic you have a serious problem. This site is a dumping ground for everything negative about the church, always has been, you are merely one of the many who do so. Get's old, get a new hobby.

You couldn't do it could you? ROFL Type away Dubbs as it entertains me. I have little more interest in your confused thoughts. But I'll toss final thoughts of my own to set you in a spin before I go: When you attack Kitkat and Wren and others who have a sound foundation and bridge the gap of understanding it is like watching someone with a weaker mind trying to convince the world he is a genius by screaming it loud enough and often enough. Just thought you should know that. It doesn't work on me either dear. Because guess what Dubbs...I'm not Mormon so why would I understand without asking a lot of questions until I get it? Had you given me an answer outsiders could understand I would have thanked you. You didn't because you couldn't. You are not equipped Therefore it was only clear as mud to you. Type away as I'm pretty much done with you.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Wren - 2008/05/06 10:02

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Maryl b, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

Since you mentioned it, that is a good idea for you to start practicing. I have read your rude and disrespectful posts that pretend you are God but honestly you do more damage than good toward understanding. Perhaps YOU should read more and type less. It would offer up a golden opportunity for you to see how those Mormons and non-Mormons you attack do more good toward understanding the differences in a positive way than you have ever done while you are busy attacking other Mormons. You are not very bright though you are intent on celebrating every passing thought you have. Lighten up and start thinking for a change.

It is obvious that you still don't get it, even after many have tried to explain it to you. You are the one that is not very bright, it is clear from your lack of comprehension on this topic you have a serious problem. This site is a dumping ground for everything negative about the church, always has been, you are merely one of the many who do so. Get's old, get a new hobby.

Dubbs' lack of sensitivity and is unwillingness to consider others' viewpoints is reflective of disdain for the LDS Articles of Faith.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Dubbs - 2008/05/06 10:28

Maryl b wrote:
Dubbs wrote:
Maryl b wrote:
Dubbs wrote:
Maryl b wrote:
Pittakos wrote:
Maryl b wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Maryl b, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

Since you mentioned it, that is a good idea for you to start practicing. I have read your rude and disrespectful posts that pretend you are God but honestly you do more damage than good toward understanding. Perhaps YOU should read more and type less. It would offer up a golden opportunity for you to see how those Mormons and non-Mormons you attack do more good toward understanding the differences in a positive way than you have ever done while you are busy attacking other Mormons. You are not very bright though you are intent on celebrating every passing thought you have. Lighten up and start thinking for a change.

It is obvious that you still don't get it, even after many have tried to explain it to you. You are the one that is not very bright, it is clear from your lack of comprehension on this topic you have a serious problem. This site is a dumping ground for everything negative about the church, always has been, you are merely one of the many who do so. Get's old, get a new hobby.

You couldn't do it could you? ROFL Type away Dubbs as it entertains me. I have little more interest in your confused thoughts. But I'll toss final thoughts of my own to set you in a spin before I go: When you attack Kitkat and Wren and others who have a sound foundation and bridge the gap of understanding it is like watching someone with a weaker mind trying to convince the world he is a genius by screaming it loud enough and often enough. Just thought you should know that. It doesn't work on me either dear. Because guess what Dubbs...I'm not Mormon so why would I understand without asking a lot of questions until I get it? Had you given me an answer outsiders could understand I would have thanked you. You didn't because you couldn't. You are not equipped Therefore it was only clear as mud to you. Type away as I'm pretty much done with you.

Funny thing is, go look at the very couple posts after yours, for days others tried to explain to you, but here we are days later, and your still making the same inaccurate comments about the process. I mean come on lady, as I said, read more, type less.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Wren - 2008/05/06 10:37

Dubbs' continues to violate the spirit of the Articles of Faith. Marylb has every right to her beliefs as does Dubbs. What they both lack is a willingness to consider the validity of the other's opinions. But Dubbs, since he is LDS, should know better. He doesn't.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Marylb - 2008/05/06 11:41

Wren wrote:

Dubbs' continues to violate the spirit of the Articles of Faith. Marylb has every right to her beliefs as does Dubbs. What they both lack is a willingness to consider the validity of the other's opinions. But Dubbs, since he is LDS, should know better. He doesn't.

That statement hints at a higher understanding to Mormon's on this subject but what is missing is we are talking about our non-Mormon relatives who selected their church and who believed as much as believers of any religion. It is impossible for an outsider to approve their Catholic relatives being rebaptized after death as anything but what they believed in life. The very word Baptized causes a problem in communication though I note that in this case baptising is said not to be baptizing. So there are still blanks for understanding. Certainly my relatives are my relatives so if I didn't question I'd be lacking the respect for their wishes and choices. Do I understand now? Not completely as my mom is and was Catholic and that is just the way it is. And baptising her is baptising her. What I have learned is there seems to be a set of circumstances that lead to this that seem reasonable if reasonably implemented. I still don't like it because no non-Mormon would anymore than they'd like Catholics doing this to anyone's Jewish mother. It is a touchy subject and there is no small amount of understanding that must come from both sides imo. In fact taking a higher position with hidden meaning of inside religious understanding doesn't actually resolve the problem of the analogy of "my mom" to outsiders but I do think that those who know the religion can and do explain the belief for a better understanding if not an acceptance. That may be the problem here for me is the lack of understanding of how important different beliefs are to each individual. I struggle with respecting all beliefs not just one at the expense of all others. "My Mom" as an analogy should explain my stand but I don't think it has because most are talking from a single religious belief aspect that includes "My Mom" and I am talking from a respect of her life choices and belief. Respect. It is a tough one that I don't think a Mormon can fully understand if this string is an indication. What I wanted was for someone, anyone to understand how important living choices are not how right it was to do this. I think maybe one day in the future we will live on a planet where all people of every religion respect one another but it is a long time coming.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Jaye - 2008/05/06 11:57

Dubbs wrote:

Marylb wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

Marylb wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do.

Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Marylb, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

And YOU'RE still misusing YOUR.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Jaye - 2008/05/06 12:00

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Marylb, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

Since you mentioned it, that is a good idea for you to start practicing. I have read your rude and disrespectful posts that pretend you are God but honestly you do more damage than good toward understanding. Perhaps YOU should read more and type less. It would offer up a golden opportunity for you to see how those Mormons and non-Mormons you attack do more good toward understanding the differences in a positive way than you have ever done while you are busy attacking other Mormons. You are not very bright though you are intent on celebrating every passing thought you have. Lighten up and start thinking for a change.

It is obvious that you still don't get it, even after many have tried to explain it to you. You are the one that is not very bright, it is clear from your lack of comprehension on this topic you have a serious problem. This site is a dumping ground for everything negative about the church, always has been, you are merely one of the many who do so. Get's old, get a new hobby.

This woman has every right to voice her concerns about an issue which she believes could adversely affect people who cannot speak for themselves.

REGARDLESS of whether her concerns concur with YOUR religious beliefs.

And since there are obviously so many people discussing the LDS faith, what they agree with, what they disagree with, what they like and/or what they dislike...and since it's getting so old for you...don't participate in the forum.

Do something else. Get a new hobby.

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by KitKat - 2008/05/06 12:02

Jaye wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

MarylB wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

MarylB wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

MarylB wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Marylb, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your

heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

Since you mentioned it, that is a good idea for you to start practicing. I have read your rude and disrespectful posts that pretend you are God but honestly you do more damage than good toward understanding. Perhaps YOU should read more and type less. It would offer up a golden opportunity for you to see how those Mormons and non-Mormons you attack do more good toward understanding the differences in a positive way than you have ever done while you are busy attacking other Mormons. You are not very bright though you are intent on celebrating every passing thought you have. Lighten up and start thinking for a change.

It is obvious that you still don't get it, even after many have tried to explain it to you. You are the one that is not very bright, it is clear from your lack of comprehension on this topic you have a serious problem. This site is a dumping ground for everything negative about the church, always has been, you are merely one of the many who do so. Get's old, get a new hobby.

This woman has every right to voice her concerns about an issue which she believes could adversely affect people who cannot speak for themselves.

REGARDLESS of whether her concerns concur with YOUR religious beliefs. Dubs views and beliefs trumps those of everyone else, or so it seems. You think?

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Jaye - 2008/05/06 12:05

KitKat wrote:

Jaye wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

MarylB wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

MarylB wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

MarylB wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Marylb, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some

semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

Since you mentioned it, that is a good idea for you to start practicing. I have read your rude and disrespectful posts that pretend you are God but honestly you do more damage than good toward understanding. Perhaps YOU should read more and type less. It would offer up a golden opportunity for you to see how those Mormons and non-Mormons you attack do more good toward understanding the differences in a positive way than you have ever done while you are busy attacking other Mormons. You are not very bright though you are intent on celebrating every passing thought you have. Lighten up and start thinking for a change.

It is obvious that you still don't get it, even after many have tried to explain it to you. You are the one that is not very bright, it is clear from your lack of comprehension on this topic you have a serious problem. This site is a dumping ground for everything negative about the church, always has been, you are merely one of the many who do so. Get's old, get a new hobby.

This woman has every right to voice her concerns about an issue which she believes could adversely affect people who cannot speak for themselves.

REGARDLESS of whether her concerns concur with YOUR religious beliefs. Dubs views and beliefs trumps those of everyone else, or so it seems. You think?

No. But HE obviously does.;

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by ericmiami - 2008/05/06 12:31

MarylB said it very well to Dumbya: "You are not equipped..."

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Girl Raised in the South - 2008/05/06 12:34

We, as members of the church, don't see anything wrong or disrespectful about baptisms for the dead as we have a testimony (understanding) of this ordinance. However, Marylb doesn't and that's OK. Here's my analogy. . .I am introduced to Marylb (someone tells her about baptisms for the dead). I speak to her in a language she's never heard before and certainly doesn't understand (the ordinance of baptisms for the dead is a foreign concept to her). I try to help her understand my words, but she can't because she doesn't have a deeper knowledge of my language, only what she's hearing from my mouth (everything posted here on this forum about baptisms for the dead is confusing and upsetting). Until Marylb goes to school and learns everything about my language, she will not understand (if Marylb was a member of the LDS church, been taught about proxy baptism, and had received a testimony of said ordinance, she would not be disheartened about having or doing temple work for her family or others).

MarylB, most of us here mean you no harm. We have strong testimonies about proxy temple ordinances, and just want you to have the same. Just to reassure you, if temple work has been done for any in your family from submitted names, please remember they DO have the choice to accept or deny the work. It's all good.

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Blondie - 2008/05/06 12:41

Girl Raised in the South wrote:

We, as members of the church, don't see anything wrong or disrespectful about baptisms for the dead as we have a testimony (understanding) of this ordinance. However, Marylb doesn't and that's OK. Here's my analogy. . .I am introduced to Marylb (someone tells her about baptisms for the dead). I speak to her in a language she's never heard before and certainly doesn't understand (the ordinance of baptisms for the dead is a foreign concept to her). I try to help her understand my words, but she can't because she doesn't have a deeper knowledge of my language, only what she's hearing from my mouth (everything posted here on this forum about baptisms for the dead is confusing and upsetting). Until Marylb goes to school and learns everything about my language, she will not understand (if Marylb was a member of the LDS church, been taught about proxy baptism, and had received a testimony of said ordinance, she would not be disheartened about having or doing temple work for her family or others).

Marylb, most of us here mean you no harm. We have strong testimonies about proxy temple ordinances, and just want you to have the same. Just to reassure you, if temple work has been done for any in your family from submitted names, please remember they DO have the choice to accept or deny the work. It's all good.

Great post ...and one that is CLEAR....;)

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Marylb - 2008/05/06 13:21

Girl Raised in the South wrote:

We, as members of the church, don't see anything wrong or disrespectful about baptisms for the dead as we have a testimony (understanding) of this ordinance. However, Marylb doesn't and that's OK. Here's my analogy. . .I am introduced to Marylb (someone tells her about baptisms for the dead). I speak to her in a language she's never heard before and certainly doesn't understand (the ordinance of baptisms for the dead is a foreign concept to her). I try to help her understand my words, but she can't because she doesn't have a deeper knowledge of my language, only what she's hearing from my mouth (everything posted here on this forum about baptisms for the dead is confusing and upsetting). Until Marylb goes to school and learns everything about my language, she will not understand (if Marylb was a member of the LDS church, been taught about proxy baptism, and had received a testimony of said ordinance, she would not be disheartened about having or doing temple work for her family or others).

Marylb, most of us here mean you no harm. We have strong testimonies about proxy temple ordinances, and just want you to have the same. Just to reassure you, if temple work has been done for any in your family from submitted names, please remember they DO have the choice to accept or deny the work. It's all good.

I think that may be the bottom line mainly because we're talking past each other. What is true is I don't understand. If there were a way for people to come together in this it would take a lot of frustration out of the conversations. I may never understand not being Mormon but having a Catholic mom who was very clear on what she believed. I can't change the world but I can hope that anyone who does submit her name understand the serious belief she held. It's ok to disagree and it is ok not to understand but nobody fools with a Catholic mom! (humor)

Thanks for nailing the communication problem before us. I think in whole something was achieved as a baby step. And that "ain't" bad. ;)

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Sir John the Apostate - 2008/05/06 15:54

Girl Raised in the South wrote:

We, as members of the church, don't see anything wrong or disrespectful about baptisms for the dead as we have a testimony (understanding) of this ordinance. However, Marylb doesn't and that's OK. Here's my analogy. . .I am introduced to Marylb (someone tells her about baptisms for the dead). I speak to her in a language she's never heard before and certainly doesn't understand (the ordinance of baptisms for the dead is a foreign concept to her). I try to help her understand my words, but she can't because she doesn't have a deeper knowledge of my language, only what she's hearing from my mouth (everything posted here on this forum about baptisms for the dead is confusing and upsetting). Until Marylb goes to school and learns everything about my language, she will not understand (if Marylb was a member of the LDS church, been taught about proxy baptism, and had received a testimony of said ordinance, she would not be disheartened about having or doing temple work for her family or others).

Marylb, most of us here mean you no harm. We have strong testimonies about proxy temple ordinances, and just want

you to have the same. Just to reassure you, if temple work has been done for any in your family from submitted names, please remember they DO have the choice to accept or deny the work. It's all good.

We, as members of the church, don't see anything wrong or disrespectful about baptisms for the dead

OK, because of your beliefs you don't see anything "wrong or disrespectful" about it. Ever considered that because of someone else's beliefs they might and, also that they might feel as strongly about their beliefs as you do?
http://www.heraldextra.com/images/fbfiles/images/Green_gobber-2fa45d1c40a5cd3fcb21c7148a57a4d2.gif

=====

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Sir John the Apostate - 2008/05/06 15:57

KitKat wrote:

Jaye wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Marylb, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

Since you mentioned it, that is a good idea for you to start practicing. I have read your rude and disrespectful posts that pretend you are God but honestly you do more damage than good toward understanding. Perhaps YOU should read more and type less. It would offer up a golden opportunity for you to see how those Mormons and non-Mormons you attack do more good toward understanding the differences in a positive way than you have ever done while you are busy attacking other Mormons. You are not very bright though you are intent on celebrating every passing thought you have. Lighten up and start thinking for a change.

It is obvious that you still don't get it, even after many have tried to explain it to you. You are the one that is not very bright, it is clear from your lack of comprehension on this topic you have a serious problem. This site is a dumping ground for everything negative about the church, always has been, you are merely one of the many who do so. Get's old, get a new hobby.

This woman has every right to voice her concerns about an issue which she believes could adversely affect people who cannot speak for themselves.

REGARDLESS of whether her concerns concur with YOUR religious beliefs.
Dubs views and beliefs trumps those of everyone else, or so it seems. You think?

Welcome to the twisted world of Bishop Kent. You do know that he claims to have been a Bishop?
http://www.heraldextra.com/images/fbfiles/images/Crazy_eyes.gif

Re:Vatican Request Mormon Records

Posted by Sir John the Apostate - 2008/05/06 16:00

Jaye wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Dubbs wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

Pittakos wrote:

Maryl b wrote:

I think what is clear is we are not communicating so I'll try something different by way of communication. To a person who is Mormon doing this may seem perfectly fine but for the outside world it is not fine. The reason it is not fine is most people select their affiliation in life. A Catholic, Jew, Agnostic, Protestant or Muslim believes just as strongly as you do in their faith or lack of faith. It is a very important final record of who they are and who they were in life. There will never be a time when anyone will be pleased with any group rewriting their wishes. It just is. I do understand that if you are taught that it is ok you may not understand the horror of making my Catholic mom into a Mormon as it goes against who she was. So what you take lightly is extremely offensive to those who are not of the same faith. I respect that you follow your faith but dig in if anyone no matter the faith plays with my history and steals my true life when I am gone. You may not understand that but it may explain why so many get upset when there is even a hint that someone would dare to baptize someone who would never allow that in life. Hope that makes sense to you because in reality a nonchalant view of this is mind boggling. I can tell you that my mom and every relative with her and those who remain on this planet would come undone. She was quite a woman and she did not accidentally pick her religion anymore than Mormon's do. Hopefully you will understand how upsetting this is to non-Mormons.

And again, Maryl b, you completely ignore what I have been saying to you. A Mormon baptism for someone who is dead does not make that dead person a Mormon for reasons already explained. If you were truly listening to what we have been saying, you wouldn't take offense. All you would see is an option that has been offered; an option which the individual can embrace or laugh at and walk away from.

I did listen to you but your explanation was unacceptable to me. I think I understand what you were trying to say now but initially it appeared you were more focused on justifying a right you don't have. See: Joseph Smith discussion early on. I don't think it is a good enough excuse to say the deceased can accept or reject. I'm sorry that is a bogus justification. What is important to me though is that it is on everyone to respect their distant relatives by not doing this without great thought, if ever. I may have misunderstood you but I think it was because you were so nonchalant as if you had no idea why an outsider would be dismayed over the concept. I can't say I like it now but we all learn from what we do and if you are doing wrong, it comes back in life in the form of a karma more often than not. It is on all of us to protect some semblance of respect before we destroy it completely. I can only hope everyone thinks before doing because losing your heritage by converting the reality is something lost.

Your still not getting it, :blink: Your still making comments like "your making them mormon" and "your making them lose their heritage" and "your converting them"

Lady, read more, type less.

Since you mentioned it, that is a good idea for you to start practicing. I have read your rude and disrespectful posts that pretend you are God but honestly you do more damage than good toward understanding. Perhaps YOU should read more and type less. It would offer up a golden opportunity for you to see how those Mormons and non-Mormons you attack do more good toward understanding the differences in a positive way than you have ever done while you are busy attacking other Mormons. You are not very bright though you are intent on celebrating every passing thought you have.

Lighten up and start thinking for a change.

It is obvious that you still don't get it, even after many have tried to explain it to you. You are the one that is not very bright, it is clear from your lack of comprehension on this topic you have a serious problem. This site is a dumping ground for everything negative about the church, always has been, you are merely one of the many who do so. Get's old, get a new hobby.

This woman has every right to voice her concerns about an issue which she believes could adversely affect people who cannot speak for themselves.

REGARDLESS of whether her concerns concur with YOUR religious beliefs.

And since there are obviously so many people discussing the LDS faith, what they agree with, what they disagree with, what they like and/or what they dislike...and since it's getting so old for you...don't participate in the forum.

Do something else. Get a new hobby.

Boo ya http://www.heraldextra.com/images/fbfiles/images/Green_gobber-515fff4d64685ecb7630942f90498c7a.gif

=====